

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING CITY HALL, 385 SOUTH GOLIAD, ROCKWALL, TEXAS JUNE 15, 2023 IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 6:00 PM

(I) CALL TO ORDER

(II) OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

(III) CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do <u>not</u> involve discretionary approvals.

(1) Approval of Minutes for the May 18, 2023 Historic Preservation Advisory (HPAB) meeting.

(IV) PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a <u>Request to Address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB)</u> (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Historic Preservation Advisory Board Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

(2) H2023-008 (BETHANY ROSS)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Kim Armstrong for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for a driveway on a *High Contributing Property* being a 1.181-acre tract of land identified as Block 51 of the B.F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 307 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

(3) H2023-009 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Cheatham on behalf of Jim Rickets for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for exterior alterations on a *High Contributing Property* being a 0.550-acre parcel of land identified as Lot C, Block 122, B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 401 N. Fannin Street, and take any action necessary.

(V) ACTION ITEMS

(4) Discuss and consider a request by Alexander Barakat for a waiver to the time limitation requirements on a denied application to allow the reapplication of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for a front yard fence on a <u>Medium Contributing Property</u> being a 0.2800-acre parcel of land identified as a portion of Lot C, Block 2, Farmers & Merchants Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 303 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

(VI) DISCUSSION ITEMS

These items are for discussion between staff and the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Historic Preservation Advisory Board meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

(3) Update from Historic Preservation Officer (HPO) regarding historic projects. (RYAN MILLER)

(VII) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Local Government Code §55.071 (Consultation with City Attorney) or any other exception allowed under Chapter 551 of the Texas Local Government Code.

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.

I, Melanie Zavala, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on <u>June 9, 2023</u> at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING CITY HALL, 385 SOUTH GOLIAD, ROCKWALL, TEXAS MAY 18, 2022 IN THE CITY COUNCIL STREET

MAY 18, 2023 IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 6:00 PM

I.CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Jay Odom brought the meeting to order at 6:00 PM. Board members present were Sarah Freed, Haydon Frasier, Tiffany Miller, Allison McNeely, and Steve Gaskin. was Absent from the meeting was Board Member Marci Hall. Staff members present were Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller, Planners Bethany Ross and Henry Lee, Planning Technician Angelica Guevara, and Planning Coordinator Melanie Zavala.

II.OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

Chairman Odom explained how open forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one coming forward to speak Chairman Odom closed the open forum.

III.CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.

1. Approval of Minutes for the April 20, 2023 Historic Preservation Advisory (HPAB) meeting.

Board Member Freed made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Board Member Frasier seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0.

IV.PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Historic Preservation Advisory Board Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

2. H2023-005 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Nathan Romo on behalf of Patricia Edwards for the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness for a fence on a High Contributing Property being a 0.907-acre parcel of land identified as Lot A, a portion of Block 121, BF Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 602 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness to construct an 8-foot cedar fence along the western property line for a high contributing property. The fence will connect to the existing stone wall whose height was increased a few years ago. It will attach to that wall and continue north to where there's an existing wrought iron fence in place. Staff mailed out 22 notices to property owners and occupants within 200-feet of the subject property. At this time, taff has not received any notices back in regards to the applicant's request.

Board Member Haydon Frasier recused himself from the meeting.

Chairman Odom opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time.

Ty Martinez

Fate Roofing Group

Mr. Martinez came forward and provided additional details in regards to the request.

Chairman Odom asked if anyone else wished to speak; there being no one indicating such, Chairman Odom closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Board for discussion or action.

Board Member McNeely asked if they would need to replace the fence.

Board Member Gaskin asked why it would need to be 8-feet tall. He also asked how far it came up towards the street.

Chairman Odom asked if the trees would be torn out by the fence. He also asked how much space there was between the bushes and trees by the chain link fence.

65		Board Member Gaskin explained that the request does not comply with the guidelines.		
66 67 68		Board Member Miller asked if anything was visible from the side of the road as you're driving by.		
69 70		Board Member Miller asked how they would build the fence without messing up the foliage.		
70 71 72		Board Member Freed asked if the shrubbery would still stay intact.		
73 74		After some discussion, Board Member Miller made a motion to deny the COA for H2023-005. Board Member Freed seconded the motion to deny which passed by a vote 5-0.		
	3.	H2023-006 (ANGELICA GUEVARA)		
77 78 79 80 81		Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alexander Barakat for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for a fence on a <u>Medium</u> Contributing Property being a 0.2800-acre parcel of land identified as a portion of Lot C, Block 2, Farmers & Merchants Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 303 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.		
82 83		Planning Technician Angelica Guevara provided a brief summary in regards to the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness on a Medium Contributing property. The applicant is proposing to remove the damaged three (3) foot wooden fence and replace it		
84 85 86		with a four (4) foot wrought iron fence. Part of the reason why this request is coming before the Board is because the applicant started removing the fence making the property no longer legally non-conforming. Staff should also note that the applicant commenced work prior to submitting or receiving a COA or Building Permit. However, the new fence does meet the UDC requirements and does not appear to impair the Historical integrity		
87 88 89 90		of the home. If the Historic Board chooses to approve the COA then they will be sending a recommendation for approval to the Planning and Zoning commission. Staff mailed out 22 notices to property owners and occupants within 200-feet of the subject property. At this time, Staff had not received any notices back regarding the applicant's request.		
90 91 92		Chairman Odom opened the public hearing and asked anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time.		
93		James Seregow		
94 95		503 N. Fannin Street Rockwall, TX 75087		
96				
97 98		Mr. Seregow came forward and expressed his concerns regarding the request.		
99 100 101		Chairman Odom asked if anyone else wished to speak; there being no one indicating such, Chairman Odom closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Board for discussion or action.		
102 103 104 105		Board Member Miller expressed her concerns with things getting started without a permit.		
106 107 108 109	After brief discussion, Board Member Miller made a motion to deny the COA for H2023-006. Board Member Freed seconded the motion to deny which passed by a vote 6-0.			
109 110 111	٧	DISCUSSION ITEMS		
112 113 114	 These items are for discussion between staff and the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) and relate to administrative information and/or cases tha will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Historic Preservation Advisory Board meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Historic Preservation Advisory Board. 			
115 116 117	1.	Update from Historic Preservation Officer (HPO) regarding historic projects. (RYAN MILLER)		
118 119	8 Planning and Zoning Director Ryan Miller indicated that there are currently no ongoing historic projects.			
120 121	V	LADJOURNMENT		
122 123		Chairman Odom adjourned the meeting at 6:50 PM.		
124 125 126 127		PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THEDAY OF2023.		
128 129		JAY ODOM, CHAIRMAN		
130 131				
132				

- 134 ATTEST: MELANIE ZAVALA, PLANNING COORDINATOR



CITY OF ROCKWALL

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Historic Preservation Advisory Board
DATE:	June 15, 2023
APPLICANT:	Kim Armstrong
CASE NUMBER:	H2023-008; Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for 307 S. Clark Street

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Kim Armstrong for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> (<u>COA</u>) for a driveway on a *High Contributing Property* being a 1.181-acre parcel of land identified as Block 51, B.F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 307 S Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The 1,636 SF single-family home (*i.e. Figure 1*) -- situated on the subject property at 307 S. Clark Street -- was constructed circa 1890 utilizing the National Folk architectural style according to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey. According to Rockwall County Appraisal District (RCAD), there is also a 786 SF detached garage and a 160 SF storage building situated on the

property. The single-family home is classified as High-Contributing Property and is situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. A property that is assigned the High Contributing classification is defined as having very few -- if any -- alterations, and possess strong associations with the historical context. The subject property was annexed prior to 1911 based on the April 1911 Sanborn Maps. According to the January 3, 1972 zoning map the subject property was zoned Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District. At some point between January 3, 1972 and May 16, 1983 the subject property was rezoned from a Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District to Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. The property has remained zoned Single Family (SF-7) District since this date.



FIGURE 1: 307 S CLARK STREET (PHOTO PROVIDED BY THE APPLICANT)

PURPOSE

The applicant is requesting approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)</u> for the purpose of constructing a driveway from either [Option A] black star gravel with a stone border or [Option B] with lueders gray pavers. Option A also includes updated landscape plans shown in Figure 2.

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 307 S. Clark Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

- <u>North</u>: Directly north of the subject property is a 0.23-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 301 S. Clark Street) that is developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Medium-Contributing Property* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey. This property is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is Hartman Street, which is identified as a R2 (*i.e. residential, two* [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is the boundary of the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District followed by a 0.15-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 213 S Clark Street) developed with a single-family home. This property is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.
- <u>South</u>: Directly south of the subject property is a 0.7379-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 401 S Clark Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Low-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*, and zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is the boundary of the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District followed by a 0.440-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 403 S Clark Street*) developed with a single-family home that is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.
- <u>East</u>: Directly east of the subject property is a 0.5700-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 701 Hartman Street) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a Medium-Contributing Property on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, and zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is a 0.49-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 712 Hartman Street) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a Non-Contributing Property on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, and zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is the boundary of the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District followed by a 0.6570-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 716 Hartman Street) developed with a single-family 7 (SF-7) District.
- <u>West</u>: Directly west of the subject property is S. Clark Street, which is identified as a *Minor Collector* on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are three (3) parcels of land (*i.e. 513 Munson Street, 511 Munson Street, 509 Munson Street*) developed with single-family homes that are designated as a *Medium-Contributing Properties* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, and that are zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT

The property owner is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the purpose of constructing a driveway. The applicant has proposed the following options: [*Option A*] the driveway will be constructed with black star gravel and a stone border or [*Option B*] the driveway will be constructed with lueders gray pavers. The photos provided by the applicant indicate that the existing gravel drive has washed out, and the applicant has stated that when it rains they have trouble with getting their vehicles out of the driveway. The applicant has also provided renderings of the proposed landscaping that will be installed after the driveway has been replaced if *Option A* is approved (*see Figure 2*). Based on the applicant's presentation, *Option B* is much more expensive and will cause the installation of the proposed landscaping to be delayed.

CONFORMANCE TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT GUIDELINES & CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 06.03(F), Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Alteration or New Construction, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he provisions of this ordinance [Historic Overlay (HOV) District] shall apply only to those



FIGURE 2: OPTION A: GRAVEL DRIVE WITH STONE BORDER

properties ... which meet the following criteria: [1] either be a designed historical landmark or be wholly or partially located within a designated historic district, and [2] either be a contributing property as defined by *Subsection B* or be located within 200-feet of a contributing property." In this case, the subject property meets all of the criteria for a property that would require a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA). Specifically, it is situated wholly within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, and is designated as a *High-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*.

In reviewing the applicant's request against Section 07, *Building Standards*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), driveway materials are subject to the following: "(J) *Paving Materials*. (1) Driveway and sidewalks should be paved with concrete, brick, cut stone, pavers, natural rock or asphalt. (2) All new sidewalks and driveways should be constructed to be compatible in texture, color, style and size with the main structure on the lot." In this case, the applicant is requesting to pave the driveway with black star gravel and provide a stone border to keep the gravel in or pave the driveway with pavers (*i.e. Figure 3*). According to Section 07, *Building Standards*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(I) <u>Driveways</u>. ... (8) (p)arking should be on 'improved' surfaces only, thereby maintaining the integrity of the front, side, and rear yards of the property."



FIGURE 3: OPTION B: LEUDERS GRAY PAVERS

According to Subsection 06.03(G)(5), Standards of Approval, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) must approve the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) if it determines that ... the application will not adversely affect the character of the site; and the proposed work is consistent with the regulations contained in this section and proposed preservation criteria ..." In this case, the applicant has indicated that the gravel area will be used as parking which would mean that *Option A* would <u>not</u> be in conformance with the requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC); however, *Option B* (*i.e. improve the driveway with pavers*) would be in conformance with the requirements contained within the requirements contained within the Unified Development Code (UDC). It should be noted, that staff was unable to find another Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) that was approved allowing gravel in lieu of the required paving materials, and that gravel is <u>not</u> allowed in any portion of the City. With this being said, the proposed scopes of work (*i.e. both Option A and B*) do <u>not</u> appear to impair the historical integrity of the subject property nor does it appear to have a negative impact on any of the adjacent properties; however, requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) are discretionary decisions for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

NOTIFICATIONS

On June 6, 2023, staff mailed 31 property owner notifications to property owners and occupants within 200-feet of the subject property. At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices returned regarding the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) chooses to approve the request for a <u>Certificate of Occupancy (COA)</u>, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

(1) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION A BOARD APPLICATION City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087	STAFF USE ONLY CASE NUMBER: NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR HAS SIGNED BELOW. DIRECTOR OF PLANNING: DATE RECEIVED: RECEIVED BY:				
APPLICATION: CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS (COA) LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION BUILDING PERMIT WAIVER & REDUCTION PROGRAM SMALL MATCHING GRANT APPLICATION SPECIAL DISTRICTS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: OLD TOWN ROCKWALL HISTORIC (OTR) DISTRICT PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) SOUTHSIDE RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY (SRO) DISTRICT DOWNTOWN (DT) DISTRICT	CONTRIBUTING STATUS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: LANDMARKED PROPERTY HIGH CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY LOW CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY CURRENT LAND USE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL				
PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]					
ADDRESS 307 S. Clark St					
SUBDIVISION	LOT BLOCK				
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK	(THE PRIMARY CONTACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED)				
	PPLICANT(S) IS/ARE: 💢 OWNER 🔲 TENANT 🗌 NON-PROFIT 🗍 RESIDENT				
	OTHER, SPECIFY:				
OWNER(S) NAME Kim Armstrong AF	PPLICANT(S) NAME				
ADDRESS 307 S. Clark St	ADDRESS				
PHONE 214-500-0600	PHONE				
E-MAIL Kaid 8919 2gmail.com	E-MAIL				
SCOPE OF WORK/REASON FOR EVALUATION REQUEST [PL	EASE PRINT]				
ESTIMATED COST OF CONSTRUCTION/DEMOLITION OF THE PROJECT (<i>IF APPLICABLE</i>):	h."				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION. IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW OR ON A SEPARATE SHEET FOR LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION REQUESTS INDICATE ANY ADD SIGNIFICANCE, PRESENT CONDITIONS, STATUS, CURRENT OR PAST USE(S), ETC. STAI PROPERTY ARE SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION.	ITIONAL INFORMATION YOU MAY HAVE CONCEPNING THE PRODERTY HIGTORY				
I put this in a power point prese.	ntation				
OWNER & APPLICANT STATEMENT [ORIGINAL SIGNATURES REQUIRED] I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND THAT ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. FURTHERMORE, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NECESSARY FOR ME OR A REPRESENTATIVE TO BE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THIS CASE TO BE APPROVED.					
OWNER'S SIGNATURE	PPLICANT'S SIGNATURE				

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD APPLICATION • CITY OF ROCKWALL • 385 SOUTH GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 • [P] (972) 771-7745





City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street

Planning & Zoning Depart 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.





Case Number: Case Name: Case Type: Zoning: Case Address:

H2023-008 COA for 307 S Clark Street Historic Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District 307 S Clark Street



OCCUPANT 404 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SOLID ROCK HOLDINGS LLC 904 CAMPTON CT ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> OCCUPANT 513 MUNSON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> OCCUPANT 508 MUNSON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TRES PUERTAS LLC 5800 E CAMPUS CIRCLE #114A IRVING, TX 75063

MUNSON PARTNERS 1 LLC 3435 HWY 276 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

LIVINGSTON JUSTIN AND BROOKE 403 S CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LIVINGSTON JUSTIN AND BROOKE 403 S CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CIELO BLUE FAMILY HOLDINGS LLC - SERIES 1 508 HIGHVIEW LANE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HOOVER LINDA WEST-716 HARTMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

TUTTLE LEON ETUX 1408 DHAKA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 511 MUNSON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BOREN TERRY L ETUX 207 GNARLY OAKS WAY LITTLE RIVER ACADEMY, TX 76554

> MUNSON PARTNERS 1 LLC 3435 HWY 276 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

BURGESS JULIA ANN 302 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 509 MUNSON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COMBS MARK HILTON AND VERONICA RUTH 401 S CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> MOORE LAKISHA Q AND JASON 708 HARTMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> > RENDON MARCELINO J JR 710 HARTMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAUBLE LINDA 301 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087 OCCUPANT 406 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RICKERSON CHARLES 1728 RIDGE ROAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SADLER LESLIE A 402 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 214 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 306 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

AUBE JEAN-PAUL III PO BOX 868 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 403 S CLARK STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 706 HARTMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GILLIAM ROBERT W JR & PERRILYN 712 HARTMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SPROUL DAVID D JR AND TRISH IRELAND 307 S CLARK ROCKWALL, TX 75087 SAMPLES ELVA NELL 502 RENFRO ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will consider the following application:

Case No. H2023-008: COA for a Driveway at 307 S. Clark Street

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Kim Armstrong for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for a driveway on a High Contributing Property being a 1.181-acre tract of land identified as Block 51 of the B.F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 307 S. Clark Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will hold a public hearing on <u>Thursday, June 15, 2023 at 6:00</u> <u>PM</u>. This hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Bethany Ross Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept. 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by <u>Thursday, June 15, 2023 at 4:00 PM</u> to ensure they are included in the information provided to the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP Director of Planning & Zoning

- - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. H2023-008: COA for a Driveway at 307 S. Clark Street

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Dear Historical Preservation Board,

The reason I am requesting a meeting, is to review the hardscape plans for a driveway extension. At the current time, our driveway will only hold one vehicle. Other options for Parking are in the yard. This is not only unattractive, but it is also not an option when the ground is wet. We have had our vehicle stuck in the mud, and along with it being inconvenient, it also creates unsightly ruts and mud tracks along the drive and city streets.

Because I love the house and the architecture so much knew it was important to have professional landscaping plans created. So I invested in a landscape designer to create plans that will enhance the house in the best way. I believe it is my obligation to preserve this property with the original integrity in which it was built. This is a very special home, and there are not many homes around that have the character and charm that this home has. From the wavy windows to the long leaf pine floors, shiplap interior, creaky stairs etc. This home has a history, and a soul. It is why I fell in love with this home. Before this home came on the market, I was driving around with my real estate agent. We passed this home a couple of times- the first time we passed it I said, *"If that home ever comes on the market, I would scoop it up in a heartbeat. But no one ever puts a home like that on the market."* From then on, every time I would pass this home with my agent in the car, I would say, *"There's my home!"* We would both laugh. Well, Low and behold several months later, this home DID come on the market. I couldn't believe it, I had spotted it on Zillow- So, I called my realtor, and I told her, we NEED to get this home.

I devoured the photos of this home online; I visually walked the entire house in my head before I ever stepped foot inside the home to see it in person. From the photos I mapped out the layout in my head. – My realtor, of course, scheduled a showing immediately after I called her. When I stepped into the home for the first time, I could just feel everything was right about this place. I stepped into the foyer, I saw the pine steps, the cast metal hinges still left on the door opening, the stained-glass window installed in the door, it was just everything I thought it would be. We took one step into the room off the foyer, and I squealed, *"This is it, Let's go sign the papers!!!"* I turned on one heel to begin to leave so we could get the paperwork going, immediately, (I didn't want to waste any time)! My realtor Laughed, and said, *"Well, I guess you like it, but don't you want to see the rest of the house."* We both laughed and I agreed that would probably be a good idea.

While we grabbed the opportunity to own this dream property, we were not in a position to move in right away. Our intention is to retire and slow down our lives in this home in a few years. We knew we would never get another opportunity to own a home of this caliber. We knew this was our "once in a life-time opportunity." In the present day, we would like to invest in the improvement of this gorgeous home so that it is perfect in every way and ready for us when we do retire in a few years. We are asking for your teamwork in making those improvements to our very special Part of History!

Sincerely,

Kim and Chris Armstrong 307 S. Clark St. Rockwall, TX 75087



307 S. Clark Street, Rockwall, TX 75087

- I would like to propose adding a driveway extension to the existing parking pad currently installed at my residence
- Our intentions are to be historically accurate in the selection of the material, and to enhance the curb appeal of the home
- We have hired a landscape designer, and I hope to get approved Option A in my presentation so that I have room in my budget to finish the landscaping design as intended
 - I believe the vegetation and the landscaping will make my house extremely more appealing for the curb appeal of my home as well as the city.
- I will provide the landscaping plan as I think it is important to the design plan and is integrated with the
- I will provide My own Cad Plan for what I would like to propose as the overall layout of the hardscaping- I have had several revisions with the landscape designer, and my Autocad Plans are the most scaled drawings, and represent most accurately the placement of material -
 - I am not able to draw the flower beds in as easily on Cad, so please follow along as I present the landscaping plan in conjunction with my hardscaping layout.
- Our Intention is to get Option A Passed, I will provide Option B as a back up plan-
 - This option is B is 2.8 times more expensive than Option A
 - Option Bwill not allow us to install the flower beds for a few years, as I slowly build back my finances
- If Option A is not Approved, please see if Option B could be approved
 - Option B is almost triple the costs of Option A
 - This will completely drain all of our funds for additional improvements like landscaping and sprInklers
 - This will require us to hold off on the landscaping plans for several years.
- The Survey is Included in these pages
- Photos of the existing conditions are included

Option A: Black Star Gravel with stone lined edge Price: \$12,000- (driveway only, not including landscaping)

Research on Historical materials for a Driveway

The first known use of word driveway was in 1871. According to "This Old House", most houses built before 1850 were reached via dirt paths. Driveways for the common home simply did not exist. The dirt paths were direct rather than meandering, and not very wide, perhaps 3' at most. They were not even meant for carriages – and certainly not meant for vehicles which would appear in later years. In later years, the driveway was originally designed to be a path that you drove on (originally for carriages) to reach the front of a home. Only in modern times, when everyone owned a car, did there become a need for a place to store it (garage), so the driveway became a place to "park your car." With the age of motorized vehicles, post 1900, actual driveways and back alley access ways became commonplace. During the second half of the 19th century, creative driveways began to show up on nicer homes. Semi-circular drives and curving walks, along with the addition of curbingtrimmed edges of cobblestone, cut stone, brick, shells, or clay tile began to make an appearance. Gravel was the preferred surfacing material, although brick, stone and flagstone walks became more prominent and sometimes only a two wheel concrete ribbon down a grass or dirt path. Today's driveways and choices of paving materials are plentiful, ranging from concrete, asphalt, gravel, and decomposed granite, to more creative and long lasting materials such as brick, natural stone pavers, and our favorite; cobblestone!



Hopedene mansion, from American estates and gardens (1904)



Similar shape and similar materials I am proposing using.



More Facts on Gravel Driveways

A driveway of pea-size gravel trimmed with a cobbled, brick, or picturesque seashell curb offers a textured surface that complements the old house and also drains well. Brick and stone (including cobbles) are also good choices for drives especially where they were used regionally, as brick was in the South. For walks, choose a smoother surface, such as bricks, frostproof brick pavers, or paving stones (e.g., bluestone or other local sedimentary stone). Set heavy, thick stones or bricks in stone dust or sand, not mortar.

Driveways started during the days of horse and cart days of the 1890's pretty much before this there wasn't anything but just old dirt tracks made from mud. Towards the end of the 19th century those with money started to be extra creative creating curves and bends, the design started to change too including curbs being added with edging made from things like clay tiles and <u>cut stones.</u>

The next real change to the history of driveways was the introduction of gravel to the road surface, along with walkways made from flagstone, brick or cobbles.

- To the right, is an image of a home located very close, in Terrell, TX off of Griffith Ave. – This image was taken in 1950- they have a gravel Driveway.





Black Star Gravel is what we would use on the center part of the gravel drive.

- You will find evidence of this material throughout the neighborhood
 - Especially prominently used along Boydstun Dr.

I have visited Preston Hollow many times, and I love how they use this installation on their properties for parking. It looks so much more natural, and a lot less invasive than concrete

Here are images of Black star Gravel - Above is a stone border, in which we would install



One last image of the concept of a gravel driveway.



The Installation of the gravel drive would be multiple steps

- Leveling out the grade and creating a shallow bed to prep for the different layers of the drive
- First layer base material, crushed concrete which would then be stamped and leveled to create a smooth surface
- Installation of the stone border that will separate the gravel drive from either flower beds or grass
- Weed preventative layer
- Black star gravel, again stamped down to create a compact and level surface.

Here is my scaled layout for the prosed new driveway extension. (in orange)



- on the left is the layout of the house and proposed driveway on the Land- Plat lines are in purple
- On the Right: (boxed in black) is a close up of the house, and proposed driveway extension.
- I would like to have the ability to park three cars in the driveway, and to not have to back out one or two cars to let someone out.
- Currently we have to drive onto the grass to get around a parked car.
- It is our desire to add additional landscaping (plants, shrubs, small trees, etc. to enhance the house and hardscape.
 - The following pages will show the landscaping intent. However, final hardscaping is not completely accurate in the renderings



Location of the driveway extension is in orange





Landscaping plans

NOTES ON THE VARIATIONS OF THIS PLAN

- * There will not be as dense of planter beds near the road
- Originally the Landscape designer Proposed a short fence close to the sidewalk. To add a foundational boundary. That foundational boundary was an anchoring segment of the heavy vegetaion- However we eliminated that
 in the design.
- The driveway will not go back as far as this driveway is drawn

Conceptual Landscaping off of back deck- back ground landscaping is along the drive- you can see the location of the parking spot, (circled in yellow) the gravel that is shown, is not the gravel drive being proposed it is an existing gravel garden off the back deck. This angle shows how the landscaping plans softens the hardscape.



Here is a more arial view of the design plan, (which includes landscaping)- in this view you are able to see the proposed location of the driveway



Conceptual view- The existing drive approach is not this wide- refer to my Cad Drawings on Page 8-- Towards the back of the house, we are proposing doing a ribbon extension (not what is drawn)



Option B-

Lueders Gray Pavers.

\$34,000- for the driveway only- (driveway only, not including landscaping)



This is the material I would use for Option B

- I think this would be beautiful, however it is almost 3 times more expensive than Option A.
- With it being so much more expensive, it eliminates what we are able to do in terms of landscaping for a very long time



Survey









We have had our vehicle get stuck in the mud after a rain-This also causes unsightly mud tracks over the existing parking pad and onto the city streets










CITY OF ROCKWALL

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Historic Preservation Advisory Board
DATE:	June 15, 2023
APPLICANT:	Michael Cheatham
CASE NUMBER:	H2023-009; Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for 401 N. Fannin Street

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Cheatham on behalf of Jim Rickets for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for exterior alterations on a *High Contributing Property* being a 0.550-acre parcel of land identified as Lot C, Block 122, B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 401 N. Fannin Street, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

According to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District (RCAD), existing on the subject property is a ~3,754 SF single-family home that was constructed in 1905, and a ~656 SF detached garage that was constructed in 1985. The subject property was annexed prior to 1911 based on the May 16, 1911 Sanborn Maps. According to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, the single-family home constructed on the subject property was built in the Folk Victorian architectural style and is classified as a High Contributing Property. According to the January 3, 1972 historic zoning map the subject property was zoned Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District. At some point between January 3, 1972 and May 16, 1983 the subject property was rezoned to Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. On June 16, 2016, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [Case No. H2016-004] to allow the renovation and expansion of the existing home. On September 26, 2017, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) reviewed a staff-initiated case [Case No. H2017-015], which considered the contributing status of the subject property after the previously approved renovations were complete: the HPAB ultimately determined that the High-Contributing status shall remain. On December 20, 2018, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [Case No. H2018-022], a Small Matching Grant [Case No. H2018-024], and a Building Permit Fee Waiver [Case No. H2018-023] to allow the repair and replacement an existing picket fence. On October 17, 2019. the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) approved a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [Case No. H2019-011], a Small Matching Grant [Case No. H2019-013], and a Building Permit Fee Waiver [Case No. H2019-012] to allow the construction of an attached garage and breezeway.

PURPOSE

The applicant is requesting approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the purpose of renovating the existing detached garage on the subject property.

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 401 N. Fannin Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

<u>North</u>: Directly north of the subject property are three (3) parcels of land developed with single-family homes (*i.e. 405, 503, & 601 N. Fannin Street*), all located within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. Beyond this is the boundary for the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, followed by a vacant 3.46-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 2, Fannin Addition*). All of the properties are zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.

- South: Directly south of the subject property is Olive Street, which is classified as a *Residential Roadway* on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are three (3) parcels of land (*i.e. 307, 305, & 301 N. Fannin Street*) originally developed with single-family homes that have since been converted to commercial land uses. Following this is E. Interurban Street, which is classified as a *Residential Roadway* on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 0.747-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and the south portion of 3, Block E, Rockwall OT Addition*), developed with a *Financial Institution (i.e. Community Bank*). All of these properties are zoned Downtown (DT) District.
- East: Directly east of the subject property is the intersection of N. Clark Street and Williams Street, which are classified as a *Residential Roadway* and a *Minor Collector* respectively on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 0.66-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lots 1 & 2, Block A, Thomas & Smith Addition*) developed with a *Restaurant*, zoned Downtown (DT) District. Following this are four (4) parcels of land (*i.e. 304, 306, 308, & 310 Williams Street*) developed with single-family homes and zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is Kernodle Street, which is classified as a *Residential Roadway* on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
- <u>West</u>: Directly west of the subject property is a vacant 1.65-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, Block A, Olive-Fannin Addition*) zoned Downtown (DT) District and Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is a 0.7191-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot 1, Block 1, Bin 303 Restaurant Addition*) developed with a *Restaurant (i.e. Bin 303*) and zoned General Retail (GR) District. Following this is a 0.4894-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Lot A, Block 123, B. F. Boydston Addition*) developed with an *Office Building* that is zoned General Retail (GR) District. Beyond this is N. Goliad Street [*SH-205*], which is classified as a P3U (*i.e. principle arterial, three (3) lane, undivided roadway*) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT

On May 26, 2023, the applicant submitted an application requesting the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) from the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB). The applicant is requesting to convert the existing detached garage into a game room. Based on the applicant's proposed scope of work, [1] the garage doors will be removed and replaced with four (4) windows, [2] the double door will be replaced with a single door, [3] the electrical and HVAC will be redone, [4] drywall will be installed, [5] the concrete floor will be repaired, and [6] the storage area will be converted into a patio. In addition, the applicant indicated that the exterior building materials and color will <u>not</u> be changed and no plumbing will be installed.

CONFORMANCE TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT GUIDELINES & CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 06.03(F), *Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Alteration or New Construction*, of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he provisions of this ordinance [*Historic Overlay (HOV) District*] shall apply only to those properties ... which meet the following criteria: [1] either be a designed historical landmark or be wholly or partially located within a designated historic district, and [2] either be a contributing property as defined by Subsection B or be located within 200-feet of a contributing property." In this case, the subject property meets all of the criteria for a property that would require a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA). Specifically, it is situated wholly within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, and is designated as a High-Contributing Property.

Staff should note that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) has the ability to review the proposed exterior changes and cannot consider interior remodel work. In reviewing the applicant's request against Section 07, *Building Standards*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) the following guidelines apply:

- (1) <u>Building Standards</u>.
 - (a) <u>Windows</u>. According to Section 07(C)(7), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he overall relationship of the size, width, height and number of doors and windows on the exterior building facades should be typical of the style and period of the structure." In this case, the proposed building elevations appear to indicate that the size, width, and height are complimentary to the primary structure's windows; however, the existing windows on the primary structure appear to be vinyl, which is inconsistent for the time period of the home. That

being said, these windows were approved by a previous Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [*Case No. H2016-004*] and the proposed windows appear to match.

(b) <u>Building Facades and Materials</u>. According to Section 07(C)(6), of Appendix D, Historic Preservation Guidelines, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(m)aterials, structural and decorative elements and the manner in which they are used...should be typical of the style and period of the existing structure." Based on the building elevations and scope of work provided by the applicant, the garage doors are being replaced with same material that the existing detached garage is faced in.

According to Subsection 06.03(G)(5), *Standards of Approval*, of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) must approve the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness if it determines that ... the application will not adversely affect the character of the site; and the proposed work is consistent with the regulations contained in this section and proposed preservation criteria ..." That being said, the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) is a discretionary decision for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

NOTIFICATIONS

On June 5, 2023, staff mailed 35 property owner notifications to property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property. At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices returned regarding the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) chooses to approve the request for a Certificate of Occupancy (COA), staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

- (1) The applicant shall be required to receive the necessary building permit(s) prior to any construction on the subject property.
- (2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

4	\bigcirc	
	HISTORIC PRESERVATION BOARD APPLICATION City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087	STAFF USE ONLY CASE NUMBER: NOTE: INOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR HAS SIGNED BELOW. DIRECTOR OF PLANNING: DATE RECEIVED: RECEIVED BY:
LOCAL LANDMAR BUILDING PERMIT SMALL MATCHING SPECIAL DISTRICTS (OLD TOWN ROCK PLANNED DEVELO	WALL HISTORIC (OTR) DISTRICT PMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) ENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY (SRO) DISTRICT	CONTRIBUTING STATUS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: LANDMARKED PROPERTY HIGH CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY MEDIUM CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY LOW CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY NON-CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY CURRENT LAND USE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL
ADDRESS	tot la information de pocto da	
SUBDIVISION		LOT C BLOCK 122
IS THE OWNER OF THI	E PROPERTY THE PRIMARY CONTACT? YES IN NO X IF OWNER AND APPLICANT ARE THE SAME.	APPLICANT(S) IS/ARE: OWNER TENANT NON-PROFIT RESIDENT
	JIM RICKETTS	APPLICANT(S) NAME MICHAE CREATHAN
	401 N FANNIN ST Rockman TX 75057	ADDRESS 1203 CEDAR RIDLE RD WEIT HEATU TR 25032
PHONE	214- 455 - 6645	PHONE 469-734-6055
	JRICKETTS & WHEEL-SOURCE. COM	E-MAIL OFFICE WILLOW CREEK CUSTOM HOME
CONSTRUCTION TYPE		I [PLEASE PRINT] NEW CONSTRUCTION ADDITION DEMOLITION OTHER, SPECIFY:
ESTIMATED COST OF	CONSTRUCTION/DEMOLITION OF THE PROJECT (IF APPLICA	IBLE): \$ 70,000
FOR LOCAL LANDMAR SIGNIFICANCE, PRESE	K EVALUATION & DESIGNATION REQUESTS INDICATE ANY	CHEET OF PAPER, DESCRIBE IN DETAIL THE WORK THAT WILL BE PERFORMED ON SITE. VADDITIONAL INFORMATION YOU MAY HAVE CONCERNING THE PROPERTY, HISTORY, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR OF THE
WE PLAN O	- CHANGING THE 2 EXISTING	GARAGE DOORS TO MAKE Y WINDOWS, CHANGE WE
DOUBLE DOOR	TO A SINGLE DOOR, OPENING S	TORALE AREA INTO PORCH CONERED THAT WILL
BE BENIND	ENSTING PRIVACY FENCE. ALL ENT	ERIOR MATERIAL & PAUT COLORS WILL STAY THE SAME
UP DATING	ALL ELECTRICAL & HVAL DOE TO .	NOE OF BOILDING. INSTALL DEVLAL ON WALLS AND
CEILING CUR	ENTLY OPEN FRAMING. RESORFACI	- GAALE FLOOZ.
I ACKNOWLEDGE T	FURTHERMORE, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NECESS	UIRED] _ INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF ARY FOR ME OR A REPRESENTATIVE TO BE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC HEARING
OWNER'S SIGNATU	JRE	APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

a.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD APPLICATION • CITY OF ROCKWALL • 385 SOUTH GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 • [P] (972) 771-7745





City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



City of Rockwall

Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.





ADAMS-ROMANO KEVIN PATRICK & JULLIAN ROSE 303 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> KMA LLC 401 COUNTRY RIDGE RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 305 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 301 N SAN JACINTO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODOM JAY & ALISON P.O. BOX 578 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RUTH DOWER LIVING TRUST DATED JUNE 3, 2014 302 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> LAURENCE CROSSING LLC 2500 DISCOVERY BLVD Suite 300 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> > OCCUPANT 304 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCKEE RICHARD AND KALASI 16713 MEADOWBROOK BV FORNEY, TX 75126

> OCCUPANT 404 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BRUCE DANA G & JEANNE L 302 N SAN JACINTO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 307 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAC OF ROCKWALL LLC 1220 CRESTCOVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

JOY LUTHERAN CHURCH 302 N GOLIAD ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 401 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RUTH DOWER LIVING TRUST DATED JUNE 3, 2014 PO BOX 871239 MESQUITE, TX 75187

> OCCUPANT 308 FANNIN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

POINTER PRICE 605 NAKOMA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 105 OLIVE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAND HEADQUARTERS COMPANY INC PO BOX 69 KEY BISCAYNE, FL 33149 OCCUPANT 304 N SAN JACINTO ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAC OF ROCKWALL LLC 1220 CRESTCOVE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCK N' ROLL REALTY LLC 301 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 201 OLIVE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

J-PEG PROPERTIES LLC 704 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

OCCUPANT 306 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LAURENCE CROSSING LLC 2500 DISCOVERY BLVD Suite 300 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> OCCUPANT 306 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MBK3 PROPERTY HOLDING CO LLC 804 TRUMPETER WAY ROCKWALL, TX 75032

> OCCUPANT 502 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BLACK SHIRLEY M 193 PORT SAINT CLAIRE ARANSAS PASS, TX 78336 OCCUPANT 406 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087 ROCKWALL RUSTIC RANCH LLC 240 WILLOWCREST ROCKWALL, TX 75032

SEREGOW JAMES AND KATHLEEN 503 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087 NICHOLSON JACQUELYN SUE AND PAUL EDWARD 405 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will consider the following application:

Case No. H2023-009: COA for Exterior Alterations at 401 N. Fannin Street

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Michael Cheatham on behalf of Jim Rickets for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> for exterior alterations on a High Contributing Property being a 0.550-acre parcel of land identified as Lot C, Block 122, B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 401 N. Fannin Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will hold a public hearing on <u>Thursday. June 15, 2023 at 6:00</u> <u>PM</u>. This hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept. 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by <u>Thursday, June 15, 2023 at 4:00 PM</u> to ensure they are included in the information provided to the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP Director of Planning & Zoning

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. H2023-009: COA for Exterior Alterations at 401 N. Fannin Street

_ . _ . _ .

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

 Name:

 Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE



















HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEMORANDUM

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Historic Preservation Advisory Board
FROM:	Angelica Guevara, Planning Technician
DATE:	June 15, 2023
SUBJECT:	Request to Resubmit an Application for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for 303 Williams Street

The applicant, Alexander Barakat, is requesting to waive the one (1) year time limitation on resubmitting an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) that was denied. On May 18, 2023, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) denied a request for a COA [Case No. H2023-006] for a front yard fence stating that they did not feel the fence met the Historic Guidelines for fences in the Historic District. According to Subsection 06.03(H)(1), Appeal Process, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(i)f the Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) is denied, the applicant may appeal to the City Council by filing a written notice with the Historic Preservation Officer (HPO) within ten business days after the decision of the board. In considering an appeal, the sole issue before the City Council shall be whether the board erred in its decision. The City Council shall consider the same standards and evidence that was considered in making the decision. Appeal to the City Council constitutes the final administrative remedy." After reviewing this criterion, the applicant chose to submit a request in accordance with Section 06.03(H)(2), Reapplication, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), which states that if an appeal is denied by the HPAB no further applications may be considered for the subject matter of the denied COA for a period of one (1) year from the date of final decision unless the HPAB waives the time limitation. In considering this matter the HPAB is asked to determine if there are changes or circumstances sufficient to warrant a new hearing. In this case, staff feels the request may be warranted due to the applicant not being at the original public hearing; however, this is a discretionary decision for the HPAB, and only requires a simple majority vote for approval. Should the HPAB have any questions staff and the applicant will be available at the June 15, 2023 HPAB meeting.



CITY OF ROCKWALL

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Historic Preservation Advisory Board
DATE:	May 18, 2023
APPLICANT:	Alexander Barakat
CASE NUMBER:	H2023-006; Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for 303 Williams Street

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alexander Barakat for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness</u> (<u>COA</u>) for a fence on a <u>Medium Contributing Property</u> being a 0.2800-acre parcel of land identified as Lot C, Block 2, Farmer & Merchants Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 303 Williams Street, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The 1,923 SF single-family home -- situated on the subject property at 303 Williams Street -- was constructed circa 1950 utilizing the Ranch architectural style according to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey. The single-family home is classified as Medium-Contributing Property and is situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. A property that is assigned the Medium Contributing classification is defined as having most, but not all, of the historical character and integrity of a Contributing Property with some of this being lost through alterations to the home. The subject property was annexed prior to 1911 based on the April 1911 Sanborn Maps. According to the January 3, 1972 zoning map the



FIGURE 1: SEPTEMBER 2022

subject property was zoned Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District. At some point between January 3, 1972 and May 16, 1983 the subject property was rezoned from a Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District to Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. The property has remained zoned Single Family (SF-7) District since this date.

<u>PURPOSE</u>

The applicant is requesting approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)</u> for the purpose of removing an existing frontyard fence and replacing it with a four (4) foot tall wrought iron fence.

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 303 Williams Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

<u>North</u>: Directly north of the subject property is a 0.28-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 302 E. Margaret Street) that is developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Medium-Contributing Property* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey. This property is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is Margaret Street, which is identified as a R2 (*i.e. residential, two* [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is the boundary of the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District followed by a 0.5760-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 703 Kernodle Street) developed with a single-family home. This property is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.

- <u>South</u>: Directly south of the subject property is Williams Street, which is identified as a *Minor Collector* on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 0.1140-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 304 Williams Street*) developed with a single-family home and a 0.1840-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 306 Williams Street*) developed with a single-family home. Both of these properties are designated as *Medium-Contributing Properties* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey and are zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Beyond this is a parcel of land (*i.e. 308 Fannin Street*) that is developed with a restaurant (*i.e. The Roundabout*). This property is classified as a *Non-Contributing Property* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, and is zoned Downtown (DT) District. Beyond this is the boundary of the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District.
- *East*: Directly east of the subject property is a 0.7096-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 501 Kernodle Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *High-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. Beyond this is Kernodle Street, which is identified as a *Minor Collector* on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 0.2630-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 502 Kernodle Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Medium-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. In addition, there is also a 0.1600-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 506 Kernodle Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Non-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. Both of these properties are zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is a 0.3860-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 501 Austin Street*) developed with a single-family nome that a single-family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is a 0.3860-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 501 Austin Street*) developed with a single-family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is a 0.3860-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 501 Austin Street*) developed with a single-family nome that a single-family nome that is designated as a *Non-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. This property is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District.
- <u>West</u>: Directly west of the subject property is a 0.2700-acre parcel of land (*i.e.* 503 *N. Fannin Street*) that is developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Non-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. Beyond this is a 1.65-acre vacant parcel of land (*i.e.* Lot 1, Block A, Olive-Fannin Addition) designated as a *Non-Contributing Property* on the 2017 *Historic Resource Survey*. Following this are three (3) parcels of land developed with two (2) *Medium-Contributing Properties (i.e.* 602 and 504 *N. Goliad Street*) and one (1) *Non-Contributing Property (i.e.* 506 *N. Goliad Street*). All of these properties are zoned Planned Development District 50 (PD-50) for Residential-Office (RO) District land uses. Beyond this is N. Goliad Street, which is identified as a M4U-M (*i.e. modified major collector, four (4) lane, undivided roadway*) on the Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT

The property owner is requesting a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the purpose of removing a damaged front yard fence and replacing it with a four (4) foot tall, wrought iron fence. The photos provided by the applicant indicate that the fence that was knocked down was a white, wooden fence that is estimated to be three (3) feet in height. Staff should note that the applicant commenced with the work prior to submitting or receiving a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) or a building permit. This was referred to staff by the Neighborhood Improvement Services (NIS) Division of the City after a *Stop Work Order* was issued to the applicant. Currently, most of the damaged fence has been removed and part of the new wrought iron fence has been put into place.

CONFORMANCE TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT GUIDELINES & CITY'S CODES

According to Subsection 06.03(F), *Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Alteration or New Construction*, of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he provisions of this ordinance [*Historic Overlay (HOV) District*] shall apply only to those properties ... which meet the following criteria: [1] either be a designed historical landmark or be wholly or partially located within a designated historic district, and [2] either be a contributing property as defined by *Subsection B* or be located within 200-feet of a contributing property." In this case, the subject property meets all of the criteria for a property that would require a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA). Specifically, it is situated wholly within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, and is designated as a *Medium-Contributing Property* on the 2017 Historic Resource Survey.

In reviewing the applicant's request against Section 07, *Building Standards*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), front yard fences are subject to the following: "(H) <u>Fences</u>. A fence in the front, side, or rear yards should meet all applicable city codes. Most fences require only a fence permit; however, a fence requiring a building permit will also be reviewed and approved by the board [*Historic Preservation Advisory Board*]. Any fence that requires review

must be architecturally compatible in height, materials, color, texture and design with the style and period of the main structure on the lot." In this case, staff reviewed the surrounding properties and found that the majority of the homes had white picket fences or no fence at all, which would appear to make the applicant's request inconsistent with the neighboring historic buildings; however, wrought iron fences are not an atypical style for the period of the existing historic home. In addition, Subsection 8.03(D)(2), *Fences in the Front Yard*, of Article 08, *Fence Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) states "(n)o fence shall be constructed in the front yard of a residential property without being granted an exception from the Planning and Zoning Commission ... the Planning and Zoning Commission may authorize the issuance of an exception for the construction of a front yard fence subject to the following provisions: ... (b) <u>Wrought Iron or Decorative Metal Fences</u>. Wrought iron or decorative metal fences that are 50% transparent ... shall not exceed 48-inches in height ... In considering a front yard fence, the Planning and Zoning Commission may require applicants to provide additional information, plans, drawings, and/or other information concerning the proposed front yard fence." This means that if the HPAB approves the Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the look of the fence, a recommendation for approval will be sent to the Planning and Zoning Commission concerning the proposed front yard fence. Staff should note that the applicant's request does appear to be in conformance with all of the Unified Development Code's (UDC's) requirements for a front yard fence.

According to Subsection 06.03(G)(5), *Standards of Approval*, of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "...the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) must approve the application for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) if it determines that ... the application will not adversely affect the character of the site; and the proposed work is consistent with the regulations contained in this section and proposed preservation criteria ..." In this case, the applicant's scope of work (*i.e. removing and replacing the fence*) appears to generally be in conformance with the guidelines and requirements contained in the Unified Development Code (UDC), and the proposed scope of work does <u>not</u> appear to impair the historical integrity of the subject property nor does it appear to have a negative impact on any of the adjacent properties; however, requests for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) are discretionary decisions for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

NOTIFICATIONS

On May 4, 2023, staff mailed 22 property owner notifications to property owners and occupants within 200-feet of the subject property. At the time this report was drafted, staff had not received any notices returned regarding the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) chooses to approve the request for a <u>Certificate of Occupancy (COA)</u>, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

(1) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

	HISTORIC PRESER BOARD APPLICAT City of Rockwall Planning and Zoning Departm 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087	ION	DVISORY		NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE IRECTOR HAS SIGNED BELOW. NG: IED:		
APPLICATION: CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS (COA) LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION BUILDING PERMIT WAIVER & REDUCTION PROGRAM SMALL MATCHING GRANT APPLICATION SPECIAL DISTRICTS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: OLD TOWN ROCKWALL HISTORIC (OTR) DISTRICT PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) SOUTHSIDE RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY (SRO) DISTRICT DOWNTOWN (DT) DISTRICT		NSTRICT	CONTRIBUTING STATUS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: LANDMARKED PROPERTY HIGH CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY LOW CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY LOW CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY NON-CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY CURRENT LAND USE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL				
PROPERTY INFORM							
ADDRESS	303 Williams	St. Roc	yruall :	TX 75087	,		
SUBDIVISION				LOT Sup	<u> </u>		
OWNER/APPLICAN	T/AGENT INFORMATION ແ	PLEASE PRINT/CHEC	K THE PRIMARY CON	TACT/ORIGINAL SIGNATURE	S ARE REQUIRED]		
	OPERTY THE PRIMARY CONTACT? 🔣				NON-PROFIT RESIDENT		
CHECK THIS BOX IF	OWNER AND APPLICANT ARE THE	SAME.	OTHER, SPECIFY	:			
OWNER(S) NAME	lexander bar	aleat A	PPLICANT(S) NAM				
ADDRESS	234 Randas W	Olx	ADDRES	S			
D	ocknall TX	75087					
	214-549-260		PHONE				
	lex ebarchorsce		E-MAI	L			
	REASON FOR EVALUATIO						
	_	-	CONSTRUCTION				
CONSTRUCTION TYPE [CHI				eglace curre			
ESTIMATED COST OF CON	STRUCTION/DEMOLITION OF THE PRO				in tere		
ESTIMATED COST OF CONSTRUCTION/DEMOLITION OF THE PROJECT (<i>IF APPLICABLE</i>): PROJECT DESCRIPTION . IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW OR ON A SEPARATE SHEET OF PAPER, DESCRIBE IN DETAIL THE WORK THAT WILL BE PERFORMED ON SITE. FOR <i>LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION</i> REQUESTS INDICATE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION YOU MAY HAVE CONCERNING THE PROPERTY, HISTORY, SIGNIFICANCE, PRESENT CONDITIONS, STATUS, CURRENT OR PAST USE(S), ETC. STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR OF THE PROPERTY ARE SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION.							
A verse vehicle ran though our Sence. We are							
COM OLINA	the dama	ache Se	we con	1 replacing	1 it laith a		
remaining the damage serve and replacing it with a 4St. tall wrought iron Serve.							
The sould be to the secret							
	NT STATEMENT [ORIGINAL S			AINED HEREIN IS TRUE A	ND CORRECT TO THE BEST C		

MY KNOWLEDGE. FURTHERMORE, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NECESSARY FOR ME OR A REPRESENTATIVE TO BE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THIS CASE TO BE APPROVED. Alex Barahar **OWNER'S SIGNATURE** APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

×Barakat

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD APPLICATION • CITY OF ROCKWALL • 385 SOUTH GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 • [P] (972) 771-7745





City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street

Planning & Zoning Departi 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.





Case Number: Case Name:

Case Type: Zoning: Case Address: H2023-006 COA for a Medium Contributing Property Historic Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District 303 Williams Street





MCKEE RICHARD AND KALASI 16713 MEADOWBROOK BV FORNEY, TX 75126

ORTAMOND DONALD J & JANA R 301 MARGARET ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 304 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MAY PATRICIA A 308 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

NICHOLSON JACQUELYN SUE AND PAUL EDWARD 405 N FANNIN STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> JENNIFER'S HOMES INC 519 E INTERSTATE 30 #442 ROCKWALL, TX 75087

POINTER PRICE 605 NAKOMA DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ODOM JAY & ALISON P.O. BOX 578 ROCKWALL, TX 75087 RESIDENT 201 OLIVE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

LOFTUS GERALDINE J 302 E MARGARET ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 306 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WINES DEBORAH C 310 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 501 KERNODLE ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 601 KERNODLE ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

J-PEG PROPERTIES LLC 704 N GOLIAD ROCKWALL, TX 75087 LAURENCE CROSSING LLC 2500 DISCOVERY BLVD SUITE 300 ROCKWALL, TX 75032

ADAMS-ROMANO KEVIN PATRICK & JULLIAN ROSE 303 WILLIAMS ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 308 FANNIN ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 401 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SEREGOW JAMES AND KATHLEEN 503 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> HALL DOUGLAS A & MARCI 601 N FANNIN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

BROWN JONATHAN R & CHRISTY A 7814 KILLARNEY LANE ROWLETT, TX 75089

PUBLIC NOTICE PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT PHONE: (972) 771-7745 PHONE: (972) 771-7745

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will consider the following application:

Case No. H2023-006: Certificate of Appropriateness for 303 Williams Street

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Alexander Barakat for the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness for a fence on a Medium Contributing Property being a 0.2800-acre parcel of land identified as a portion of Lot C, Block 2, Farmers & Merchants Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 303 Williams Street, and take any action necessarv.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will hold a public hearing on Thursday, May 18, 2023 at 6:00 PM. This hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Angelica Guevara Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept. 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by Thursday, May 18, 2023 at 4:00 PM to ensure they are included in the information provided to the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP Director of Planning & Zoning

PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No. H2023-006: Certificate of Appropriateness for 303 Williams Street

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.

PLEASE SEE LOCATION MAP OF SUBJECT PROPERTY ON THE BACK OF THIS NOTICE

Jost Life the life of the section which the A 53" 33" 54" dans whomy the first "law of walls a state that when the second state of With \$50 3.04 150 Hart, & Southernor of 100 V; Your June 100 theory 2.01 Free of 1 math \$10" 10" 40" Rant. & Clargenge of We by Sond to the old family for formal 20 the Roth roads of math Rights starting of ball to four in the shart of billing Startes a MAL SEA, PE 211 A METER'S - INCH in the second second Autorites and have And a servers WELKNY DIVECT Austiglient Burges da.









