HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDACO HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETI CITY HALL, 385 SOUTH GOLIAD, ROCKWALL, TEXAS JULY 17, 2025 IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 6:00 PM

(I) CALL TO ORDER

(II)**OPEN FORUM**

This is a time for anyone to address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

(III)**CONSENT AGENDA**

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.

(1) Approval of Minutes for the June 19, 2025 Historic Preservation Advisory (HPAB) meeting.

(IV)PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Historic Preservation Advisory Board Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

(2) H2025-013 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Johnathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design on behalf of Darlene Singleton of the Rockwall Community Playhouse for the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for [1] the demolition of two (2) Medium Contributing Structures, [2] the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for two (2) Medium-Contributing Properties, one (1) Low Contributing Property, and two (2) Non-Contributing Properties being a 1.0061-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 120D and all of Lots 120C & 120G of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 609 E. Rusk Street and 606, 610, & 612 Kaufman Street, and take any action necessary.

(V) **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

These items are for discussion between staff and the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) and relate to administrative information and/or cases that will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Historic Preservation Advisory Board meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when these items are considered for action by the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

(3) Update from Historic Preservation Officer (HPO) regarding historic projects. (RYAN MILLER)

(VI) ADJOURNMENT

The City of Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Local Government Code §55.071 (Consultation with City Attorney) or any other exception allowed under Chapter 551 of the Texas Local Government Code.

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 772-6406 for further information.

I. Melanie Zavala, Planning and Zoning Coordinator for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on July 11, 2025 at 5:00 PM, and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETIN CITY HALL, 385 SOUTH GOLIAD, ROCKWALL, TEXAS JUNE 19, 2025 IN THE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS AT 6:00 PM

I.CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Tiffany Miller brought the meeting to order at 6:02PM. Board members present Sarah Freed, Haydon Frasier, Steve Gaskin, and Fran Webb. Board members absent were Board Member Alison McNeely, Board Member Ben Lewis. Staff members present Senior Planner Henry Lee, Senior Planner Bethany Ross, Planning Technician Angelica Guevara and Planning Coordinator Melanie Zavala. Staff absent from the meeting was Director of Planning and Zoning Ryan Miller.

II.OPEN FORUM

This is a time for anyone to address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) on any topic that is not already listed on the agenda for a public hearing. Per the policies of the City of Rockwall, public comments are limited to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens. On topics raised during the OPEN FORUM, please know that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting per the Texas Open Meetings Act.

Chairman Tiffany Miller explained how the open forum is conducted and asked if anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time, there being no one indicating such Chairman Tiffany Miller closed the open forum.

III.CONSENT AGENDA

These agenda items are administrative in nature or include cases that meet all of the technical requirements stipulated by the Unified Development Code (UDC) and Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances, and do not involve discretionary approvals.

1. Approval of Minutes for the February 20, 2025 Historic Preservation Advisory (HPAB) meeting.

Approval of Minutes for the March 20, 2025 Historic Preservation Advisory (HPAB) meeting.

Board Member Freed made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Board member Webb seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

IV.PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

This is a time for anyone to speak concerning their issues with a public hearing case. If you would like to speak regarding an item listed in this section, please submit a Request to Address the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) (i.e. the yellow forms available at the podium or from staff). The Historic Preservation Advisory Board Chairman will call upon you to come forward at the proper time or will ask if anyone in the audience would like to speak. Please limit all comments to three (3) minutes out of respect for the time of other citizens.

3. H2025-012 (BETHANY ROSS)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ashley Egan and Justine Jones for the approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Exterior Alterations of a Landmark Property being a 0.3900-acre parcel of land identified as Lot A, Block 117, B.F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, addressed as 109 St. Mary's Street, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner Bethany Ross provided a brief summary in regard to the applicant's request. This home was constructed in 1888 and is a prime example of Folk Victorian L-Plan architecture, a vernacular style typical of late 19th-century domestic buildings. It features cross-gabled massing, wood lap siding, spindle work on the porch, and vertically oriented windows-all consistent with its period and contributing to its landmark designation. The property was officially designated a Landmark Property in 2008 and has received multiple COAs for preservation-related work most notably in 2013 and 2017 for improvements such as siding replacement, window updates, and accessory structures. The applicant is seeking approval for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for proposed exterior alterations. Under the UDC, the HPAB must evaluate whether the proposed work is consistent with the historic character of the structure and district, will not adversely affect the architectural integrity of the home, and conforms to the preservation guidelines. The applicants are proposing to enclose 230 square feet of the rear porch. The purpose is to create a dedicated dining area, and convert a half-bath into a full bathroom. Key design elements include matching lap siding and trim detailing, a sliding glass door and fixed window with colonial grilles, and retaining the existing roof slope and overhang. The design intends to be reversible, meaning it could be removed in the future without harming the historic home. Staff finds that the project meets the Historic Preservation Guidelines, particularly with regard to height, massing, and roof pitch, use of compatible materials, and visual separation of new and historic elements. The addition is placed at the rear and maintains the original structure's prominence. Staff mailed out notices to 23 property owners within 200 feet of the site. At this time, staff has received one notice in favor of the request. In conclusion, the proposed addition is sensitive to the historic character of the 1888 structure, while also accommodating the homeowner's needs. It remains consistent with preservation guidelines and does not adversely affect adjacent landmark properties.

Chairman Miller opened the Public Hearing and asked if anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Miller closed the public hearing and brought the item back for discussion or action.

63 **Tom Richard**

64

4577 CR 643

Nevada, TX 75173

65

66 67

68 69

70 71 72

77

78

79

Mr. Richard came forward and provided additional details in regards to the applicant's request.

Board Member Gaskin asked if the tree would be removed.

Board Member Gaskin asked if they were changing the footprint of the property.

Board Member Webb asked if they were adding panels to the property.

Chairman Miller asked if they were preserving the home character.

Board Member Freed made a motion to approve H2025-012 as requested to enclose the patio with like and kind materials and keeping with the structure. Board Member Gaskin seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-0.

80 4. H2025-013 (HENRY LEE)

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Johnathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design on behalf of Darlene Singleton of the
Rockwall Community Playhouse for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)</u> for [1] the demolition of two (2) *Medium Contributing Structures*, [2]
the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development
District for two (2) *Medium-Contributing Properties*, one (1) *Low Contributing Property*, and two (2) *Non-Contributing Properties* being a 1.0061-acre tract of
land identified as a portion of Lot 120D and all of Lots 120C & 120G of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 609 E. Rusk Street and 606, 610, & 612 Kaufman Street,
and take any action necessary.

89 Senior Planner Henry Lee provided a brief summary in regard to the applicants request. There are three parts to this request. The applicant is 90 requesting rezoning for the property from Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development (PD) District for Single-Family District land 91 uses with the addition of the theatre land use as a permit permitted by right. The second is for the demolition of the two (2) structures due to the 92 existing structures on the subject property. The third would be for the construction of two (2) new buildings. One for the theatre and the second for 93 a practice hall. The main theatre portion will face Clark Street. When looking at the requirements for the zoning portion the property as it is now is 94 considered legally non-conforming and is zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District which would not allow the theatre land use. Given that the property 95 owner is making changes to the property it needs to be brought into conformance, with that they're requesting a Planned Development District 96 (PD). They're keeping the same underline of Single-Family 7 (SF-7) they're just adding the theatre land use as a permitted by right land use. They're 97 requesting some changes to the standards this being to the front yard setbacks back along Clark. The side yard adjacent to residential the building 98 height and the number of parking spaces. When looking at the location and given the residential adjacency there was a note that was included 99 within the Planned Development District (PD) ordinance that theatre includes whether it be a local non-profit or it being an outside company 100 theatre that does productions. In the ordinance they specifically wrote that it has to be a community theatre which would be a locally organized 101 performing arts organization that produces theatre. When looking at the demolition portion of this request the HPAB has three items to review 102 when looking at demolition one being the building has lost its architecture historical integrity and its removal will not negatively impact the 103 Historic district. The third part of this COA is for the new construction of the building. The tallest point of the building would reach 55 feet with the 104 practice building being 29 1/2 feet and the midpoint of the practice area would be 24 feet and the theater building would be 44 feet. In regards to 105 building façade and materials the board should look at the complementary materials. In regard to the fencing with residential adjacency for 106 commercial properties there is required to be a 20-foot landscape buffer and it can have a masonry wall with canopy trees on 20-foot centers or 107 they can request to do a wrought iron fence with three-tiered screening which would be a row of canopy and shrubs. In this case as indicated 108 they're requesting to reduce the setbacks. Staff mailed out 34 notices to property owner and applicants within 200 feet of the subject property.

109 110 Jonathan Brown 111 601 Kernoodle Street 112 Rockwall, TX 75087 113 114 Mr. Brown came forward and provided additional details in regards to his request. 115 116 Board Member Gaskin asked if he was going to raise the grade of the property. 117 118 Vice-Chairman Frasier explained his concern in regard to the property is that there used to be a well on the property. 119 120 Chairman Miller opened the Public Hearing and asked if anyone who wished to speak to come forward at this time. 121 122 **Christi Gates** 123 104 N Clark Street 124 Rockwall, TX 75087 125 126 Mrs. Gates came forward and explained she did not want the property to turn into a commercial property. 127 128 **Robert McDonald** 129 206 N Clark Street 130 Rockwall, TX 75087 131 132 Mr. McDonald came forward and explained there was already traffic issues and explained he wanted the property to remain single-family. 133

134		Craig Smith
135		610 Ě. Rusk Street
136		Rockwall, TX 75087
137		
138		Mr. Smith came forward and explained he is concerned with the traffic and the single-family being changed.
139		
140		Mr. Brown came forward and explained there is insufficient parking for the theatre but this new concept plan will have enough parking.
141		
142		Senior Planner Henry Lee explained the parking requirements are 1:3 seats.
143		
144		Board Member Gaskin made a motion to table H2025-013 to seek other options in regard to the height of the building and making it smaller. Board
145		Member Webb seconded the motion and was tabled by a vote of 5-0.
146		
147	V.	DISCUSSION ITEMS
148		
149		These items are for discussion between staff and the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) and relate to administrative information and/or cases that
150		will come forward for action or public hearing at a future Historic Preservation Advisory Board meeting. Public comment on these cases can take place when
151		these items are considered for action by the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.
152		
153	5	. Update from Historic Preservation Officer (HPO) regarding historic projects. (RYAN MILLER)
154	0.	
155	V	I.ADJOURNMENT
156	•	
157		Chairman Miller adjourned the meeting at 7:15PM
158		
159		PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE HISTORICAL PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL. TEXAS. THIS THE DAY
160		OF2025.
161		
162		
163		
164		TIFFANY MILLER, CHAIRMAN
165		
166		
167		
168		ATTEST: MELANIE ZAVALA, PLANNING COORDINATOR



CITY OF ROCKWALL

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD CASE MEMO

PLANNING AND ZONING DEPARTMENT 385 S. GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 PHONE: (972) 771-7745 • EMAIL: PLANNING@ROCKWALL.COM

TO:	Historic Preservation Advisory Board
DATE:	July 17 2025
APPLICANT:	Jonathan Brown, AIA; JHP Architecture and Urban Design
CASE NUMBER:	H2025-013; Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Rockwall Community Playhouse

SUMMARY

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Jonathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design on behalf of Darlene Singleton of the Rockwall Community Playhouse for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)</u> for [1] the demolition of two (2) *Medium Contributing Structures*, [2] the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for two (2) *Medium-Contributing Properties*, one (1) *Low Contributing Property*, and two (2) *Non-Contributing Properties* being a 0.805-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 120D and all of Lots 120C & 120G of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 609 E. Rusk Street and 606, 610, & 612 Kaufman Street, and take any action necessary.

BACKGROUND

The 1,728 SF single-family home -- situated on 610 E. Kaufman Street -- was constructed circa 1950 utilizing the U-Plan floor plan within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. According to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, the home is classified as a Medium Contributing Property. The 3,708 SF Theater -situated on 609 E. Rusk Street -- was constructed circa 1955 as a Church/House of Worship within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. According to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, the building is classified as a Medium Contributing Property. A property that is assigned the Medium Contributing classification is defined as having most, but not all, of the historical character and integrity of a Contributing Property with some of this being lost through alterations. The 2017 Historic Resource Survey states that the home at 610 E. Kaufman Street has had the doors replaced and the Theater at 609 E. Rusk Street has had the doors, windows, and some exterior wall materials replaced. The subject property was annexed prior to 1911 based on the April 1911 Sanborn Maps. According to the January 3, 1972 zoning map the subject property was zoned Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District. At some point between January 22, 1982 and May 16, 1983 the subject property was rezoned from a Single-Family 3 (SF-3) District to Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District. The property has remained zoned Single Family (SF-7) District since this date. On April 20, 2017, the Historic



FIGURE 1: JUNE 13, 2025



Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) approved a motion to delay a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) [*Case No. H2017-004*] to allow the demolition of the *Old Rockwall Water Pump House* for 60-days. Ultimately, the building was not purchased and was demolished. This represents the only changes made to the subject property.

PURPOSE

The applicant -- Jonathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design -- is requesting approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for [1] the demolition of two (2) Medium Contributing Structures, [2] the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for two (2) Medium-Contributing Properties, one (1) Low Contributing Property, and two (2) Non-Contributing Properties.

ADJACENT LAND USES AND ACCESS

The subject property is located at 609 E. Rusk Street and 606, 610, & 612 Kaufman Street. The land uses adjacent to the subject property are as follows:

- <u>North</u>: Directly north of the subject property is E. Kaufman Street, which is identified as a R2U (*i.e. residential, two* [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are three (3) parcels of land, one (1) parcel (*i.e. part of Block 22, F&M Addition*) is vacant and the other two (2) parcels (*i.e. 201 & 203 N. Clark Street*) are developed with single-family homes that are designated as *Low Contributing Properties*. Following this is a 0.3230-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 205 N. Clark Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *Medium Contributing*. North of this is a 30-foot right-of-way developed with a sidewalk that serves *Lofland Park*, which is a 1.377-acre public park owned by the City of Rockwall. All of these properties are zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District and are located within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District.
- South: Directly south of the subject is E. Rusk Street, which is identified as a A4D (*i.e. arterial, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this are five (5) parcels of land (*i.e. 606, 608, & 610 E. Rusk Street; 102 & 106 S. Clark Street; 609 E. Washington Street*) developed with one (1) *Duplex* and four (4) single-family homes. All of these properties are classified a *Medium Contributing Properties* within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District and are zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District. Following this is E. Washington Street, which is identified as a A4D (*i.e. arterial, four [4] lane, divided roadway*) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan.
- *East*: Directly east of the subject property is N. Clark Street, which is identified as a *Minor Collector* on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. Beyond this is a 1.503-acre parcel of land (*i.e. 104 S. Clark Street*) developed with a single-family home that is designated as a *High Contributing Property* and is located within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. Following this is the boundary for the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District. East of this is an 18.407-acre parcel of land (*i.e. Rockwall School No. 1 Addition*) developed with the Howard Dobbs Elementary School. Both of these properties are zoned Single Family 7 (SF-7) District.
- <u>West</u>: Directly west of the subject property are two (2) parcels of land (*i.e.* 603 & 605 E. Rusk Street) developed with Low Contributing single-family homes. Beyond this are three (3) parcels of land (*i.e.* 604 E. Kaufman Street; 601 E. Rusk Street; 102 N. Tyler Street) developed with single-family homes that are designated as Medium Contributing Properties. Following this is N. Tyler Street, which is identified as a R2U (*i.e. residential, two* [2] lane, undivided roadway) on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan contained in the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan. All of these properties are zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District and are located within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District.



CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROJECT

On May 30, 2025, the applicant -- Jonathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design -- submitted a request for a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) to allow [1] the demolition of two (2) Medium Contributing Structures, [2] the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for two (2) Medium-Contributing Properties, one (1) Low Contributing Property, and two (2) Non-Contributing Properties. Included with the applicant's request are a list of development standards, a concept plan, and conceptual building elevations. Based on the proposed concept plan the ~9,950 SF performance center will be located along N. Clark Street with the majority of the parking located behind the building. In addition, the ~1,650 SF practice hall is located at the rear of the subject property and faces on E. Kaufman Street. The provided building elevations indicate that the proposed buildings will incorporate lap siding, board and batten siding, and masonry.

CONFORMANCE TO THE HISTORIC DISTRICT GUIDELINES & CITY'S CODES

According to Section 06, *Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)*, of Article 11, *Development Applications and Review Procedures*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ny person carrying out any work that requires a building permit for exterior alteration, restoration, reconstruction, new construction, moving or demolition of a property within a historic district that is visible must first obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) from the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB)." In addition, Subsection 06.03(G)(6) of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), states that a public hearing with the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is required before a decision can be made on a zoning recommendation. In this case the applicant is proposing to [1] demolish the existing buildings, [2] construct a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] change the zoning from Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development. Based on

this scope of work, the applicant is required to request a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) from the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

In reviewing the applicant's request against the Unified Development Code (UDC) the following guidelines apply:

Zoning Change: According to Subsection 06.03(G)(6) of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), a public hearing with the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is required before a decision can be made on a zoning recommendation. In this case, the existing *Theater* has held a Certificate of Occupancy (CO) since 1998 [*Permit No. CO1998-1039*] and is legally non-conforming. This means the subject property is permitted to remain in the current condition; however, if any changes are proposed the subject property must be brought into conformance. According to the *Land Use Schedule* contained in Article 04, *Permissible Uses*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), a *Theater* is not permitted within a Single Family 7 (SF-7) District. Given this, the applicant is requesting a zoning change from Single Family 7 (SF-7) District to Planned Development District for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses where the *Theater* land use is also a permitted *by-right* land use. In addition, the applicant has provided a list of development standards they are requesting to deviate from in order to address site constraints and the residential adjacencies. A summary of the density and dimensional requirements for the subject property and the proposed standards conformance to the current zoning are as follows:

Ordinance Provisions	Zoning District Standards	Proposed Conformance to the Standards		
Minimum Lot Area	7,000 SF	X=35,066 SF; In Conformance		
Minimum Lot frontage	60-Feet	X>60-feet; In Conformance		
Minimum Lot Depth	100-Feet	X=100-feet; In Conformance		
Minimum Front Yard Setback	20-Feet	X=20-feet E. Kaufman Street; In Conformance X=15-feet N. Clark Street; Non-Conforming X=20-feet E. Rusk Street; In Conformance		
Minimum Rear Yard Setback	10-Feet	X>10-feet; In Conformance		
Minimum Side Yard Setback	10-Feet	X>10-feet; In Conformance		
Side Yard Adjacent to Residential	20-Feet	X=10-feet; Non-Conforming		
Maximum Building Height	32-Feet	X=55-feet; Non-Conforming		
Max Building/Lot Coverage	45%	X=33.13%; In Conformance		
Minimum Number of Parking Spaces	1/3 Seats = 54 spaces	X=51; Non-Conforming		

The items listed above as non-conforming indicate where the applicant is requesting deviations from the base zoning -- *Single Family 7 (SF-7) District* -- as outlined within Unified Development Code (UDC). In order to facilitate the applicant's request, these non-conforming items are written as development standards within the *Draft Ordinance*. In addition to the density and development requirements listed above, the applicant is requesting the following variations from the Unified Development Code (UDC): [1] to allow a ten (10) foot residential adjacency buffer with a wood fence and canopy trees in lieu of a 20-foot adjacency buffer with three (3) tiered landscaping and a wrought iron fence, and [2] reduced landscape buffer requirements along E. Kaufman Street, N. Clark Street, and E. Rusk Street. All this being said, the zoning change request is a discretionary decision for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

<u>Demolition</u>: According to Subsection 06.03(J), *Historic Overlay (HO) District*, of Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a) Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) is required prior to receiving a permit for demolition of a property within a historic district, including secondary buildings." In addition, Section 10, *Demolition Criteria*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), states that "...a structure which contributes historically or architecturally to the Historic District should be prohibited, except as provided below. Demolition of a structure would be allowed if:

- (1) The building has lost its architectural and historical integrity and importance, and its removal will not result in a negative, less appropriate visual effect on the Historic District; or
- (2) A structure does not contribute to the historical or architectural character and importance of the Historic District (*e.g. a Non-Contributing structure*), and its removal will result in a positive, appropriate visual effect on the Historic District; or

(3) There is an imminent threat to the health, safety and welfare to the surrounding residents and/or property because of an +- unsafe condition that constitutes an emergency (see Section 11, Demolition by Neglect)."

In this case, the applicant's request does not appear to meet item #3 listed above as there is not an imminent threat to the health, safety and welfare. Given this, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) is tasked with determining whether the structures have [1] lost their architectural and historical integrity and importance, and their removal will not result in a negative, less appropriate visual effect on the Historic District, or [2] whether the structures contribute to the historical or architectural character and importance of the Historic District. According to the 2017 Historic Resource Survey, the single-family home -- situated on 610 E. Kaufman Street -- was constructed circa 1950, has had the doors replaced, and is classified as a Medium Contributing Property. The Theater -- situated on 609 E. Rusk Street -- was constructed circa 1955 as a Church/House of Worship, has had the doors, windows, and some exterior wall materials replaced, and is classified as a Medium Contributing Property. A property that is assigned the Medium Contributing classification is defined as having most, but not all, of the historical character and integrity of a Contributing Property with some of this being lost through alterations. In this case, the proposed existing assets have changed from their historical origins, and the applicant's request maybe appropriate considering their intended improvements to the property; however, the demolition request is a discretionary decision for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

<u>New Construction</u>: According to Section 06, Certificate of Appropriateness (COA), of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ny person carrying out any work that requires a building permit for exterior alteration, restoration, reconstruction, new construction, moving or demolition of a property within a historic district that is visible must first obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) from the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB)." In addition, according to Subsection 06.03(F), Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Alteration or New Construction, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(t)he provisions of this ordinance [Historic Overlay (HOV) District] shall apply only to those properties … which meet the following criteria: [1] either be a designed historical landmark or be wholly or partially located within a designated historic district, and [2] either be a contributing property as defined by Subsection B or be located within 200-feet of a contributing property." In this case, the subject property meets all of the criteria for a property that would require a Certificate of Appropriateness (COA).

In reviewing the applicant's concept plan and conceptual building elevations against Section 07, *Building Standards*, of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) the following guidelines apply:

- (1) <u>Building Standards</u>.
 - (a) <u>Height</u>. According to Section 07(A), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)II new buildings and additions shall be constructed to a height and number of stories which are consistent and compatible with existing neighboring historic buildings or structures on the same block face." In this case, the proposed performance center will be 55-feet in total height or approximately 41-feet at the midpoint of the pitched roof, and the proposed practice building is approximately 29.50-feet in total height or approximately 24-feet at the midpoint of the pitched roof. In review of the surrounding properties, they are all one (1) story single-family homes with the exception of the two (2) story single-family home east -- across N. Clark Street -- of the subject property. The applicant has indicated that the increased height for the performance building is due to the nature of the use.
 - (b) <u>Building Setback and Orientation</u>. According to Section 07(B), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)II ... new infill construction should recognize and maintain the established historic home site orientation, and side and front side setbacks within the block face, thereby being visually compatible and maintaining the established rhythm and setback spacing." In addition, "(a) new commercial structure should not be oriented toward a residential block face." In this case, the proposed performance center is being brought closer to N. Clark Street, but faces internal to the site, and the practice building is pushed back from E. Kaufman Street, but faces the roadway.
 - (c) <u>Building Facades and Materials</u>. According to Section 07(C), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), all proposed building materials shall be made of "the original exterior facade materials..."; however, "...complementary exterior materials may be used." The existing single-family home utilizes stone, and the existing *Theater* utilizes lap-siding, board and batten siding, and brick. In this case, the applicant is

proposing two (2) new buildings that will utilize lap siding, board and batten siding, masonry, and standing seam metal roofing.

- (d) <u>Roofs</u>. According to Section 07(D), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(r)oof shape, form and design should be typical of or consistent with the style and period of the architecture of buildings within the Historic District." In addition, "(r)oof materials/colors should be visually compatible and compliment the style and period of the structure." In this case, the existing single-family home and *Theater* have both hip and gabled roofs with architectural shingles. The applicant is proposing to utilize only the hip roof design with standing seam metal roofing.
- (e) <u>Fences</u>. According to Section 07(H), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(a)ny fence that requires review must be architecturally compatible in height, materials, color, texture and design with the style and period of the main structure on the lot." In this case, the applicant is proposing to utilize a six (6) foot cedar fence in lieu of a six (6) foot wrought iron fence -- as required by the residential adjacency standards -- between the subject property and the residential properties. The applicant has indicated that they believe it will better screen the new buildings and be more in kind with adjacent residential fences.
- (f) <u>Parking</u>. According to Section 07(K), of Appendix D, *Historic Preservation Guidelines*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC), "(o)ff-street parking should be provided behind the front facade of the main structure on the property." In this case the subject property is bounded on three (3) sides by a roadway. The proposed concept plan indicates the majority of the parking will be located behind the performance center, with one (1) additional row of parking being located between the performance center and E. Kaufman Street.

All this being said, the Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for the proposed scope of work (*i.e. zoning change, demolition, and new construction*) is a discretionary decision for the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB).

NOTIFICATIONS

On June 4, 2025, staff mailed 34 property owner notifications to all property owners and occupants within 200-feet of the subject property. At the time this case memo was prepared, staff had not received any notices returned regarding the applicant's request.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the Historic Preservation Advisory Board (HPAB) chooses to approve the request for a <u>Certificate of Occupancy (COA)</u>, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:

- (1) The applicant will be required to apply for a demolition permit through the Building Inspections Department; and,
- (2) The proposed *Theater* shall be required to generally conform to the *Concept Plan* and *Conceptual Building Elevations* contained within *Exhibit 'B'* and *Exhibit 'C'* of the Planned Development District Ordinance; and,
- (3) The proposed *Theater* shall be required to conform to the *PD Development Standards* contained within *Exhibit 'D'* of the Planned Development District Ordinance; and,
- (4) Any construction resulting from the approval of this request shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

	BOARD City of Rock	ld Zoning Department d Street	A	DVISORY	<u>NO:</u> The	T <u>E:</u> THE APPLI CITY UNTIL T DIRECTOR (DA	ASE NUMBER: ICATION IS NOT C	CONSIDERED ACCI RECTOR HAS SIG	
APPLICATION: CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS (COA) LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION BUILDING PERMIT WAIVER & REDUCTION PROGRAM SMALL MATCHING GRANT APPLICATION SPECIAL DISTRICTS (SELECT APPLICABLE): OLD TOWN ROCKWALL HISTORIC (OTR) DISTRICT PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 50 (PD-50) SOUTHSIDE RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBORHOOD OVERLAY (SRO) DISTRICT DOWNTOWN (DT) DISTRICT				CONTRIBUTING STATUS [SELECT APPLICABLE]: LANDMARKED PROPERTY HIGH CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY MEDIUM CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY LOW CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY NON-CONTRIBUTING PROPERTY CURRENT LAND USE OF THE SUBJECT PROPERTY: RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL					
PROPERTY INFO	-	•							
	609 E Rusk St	treet					-		
SUBDIVISION						LOT		BLOCK	
		NFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/C						-	
IS THE OWNER OF THE	E PROPERTY THE PRIMARY CONTACT? 🔀 YES 🗌 NO			PPLICANT(S) IS/ARE:	ARE: OWNER TENANT NON-PROFIT			RESIDENT	
CHECK THIS BOX	X IF OWNER AND APPLICANT ARE THE SAME.		C	OTHER, SPECIFY:	ECIFY: Architect				
OWNER(S) NAME	Darlene Singleton		A	PPLICANT(S) NAME Jonathan Brown, A		Brown, AIA	4		
ADDRESS	Rockwall Community Playhouse			ADDRESS JI		JHP Architecture/Urban Design			
	609 E Rusk Street Rockwall, TX 75087				8340 Meadow Road, Dallas, TX 75231			231	
PHONE	214-663-9820			PHONE	2	214-363-5687			
E-MAIL	dsingleton54@gmail.com			E-MAIL	jt	jbrown@jhparch.com			
SCOPE OF WOR	K/REASON F	OR EVALUATION REQUES	Τ [ΡΙ	LEASE PRINT]					
			NEW CONSTRUCTION ADDITION Image: Construction OTHER, SPECIFY: Image: Construction						
ESTIMATED COST OF C	CONSTRUCTION/DEI	MOLITION OF THE PROJECT (IF APPLIC	ABLE	=): \$ 5,000,0	000				
PROJECT DESCRIPTION. IN THE SPACE PROVIDED BELOW OR ON A SEPARATE SHEET OF PAPER, DESCRIBE IN DETAIL THE WORK THAT WILL BE PERFORMED ON SITE. FOR LOCAL LANDMARK EVALUATION & DESIGNATION REQUESTS INDICATE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION YOU MAY HAVE CONCERNING THE PROPERTY, HISTORY, SIGNIFICANCE, PRESENT CONDITIONS, STATUS, CURRENT OR PAST USE(S), ETC. STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR OF THE PROPERTY ARE SUBMITTED WITH THIS APPLICATION.									
The Rockwall Community Playhouse is a nonprofit corporation run by community volunteers out of the old church building located at East Rusk and North Clark Streets. Now in their 30th season, the group has outgrown their current building and are applying to construct a new building to better suit the needs of the community. In doing so, they will provide more parking on site to help alleviate current parking issues. The new building will allow for them to better provide theater productions, youth programs, special needs programs, and summer programs.									
OWNER & APPLICANT STATEMENT [ORIGINAL SIGNATURES REQUIRED] I ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND THAT ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE. FURTHERMORE, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT IS NECESSARY FOR ME OR A REPRESENTATIVE TO BE PRESENT AT A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THIS CASE TO BE APPROVED. OWNER'S SIGNATURE Darlene Singleton									

HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD APPLICATION • CITY OF ROCKWALL • 385 SOUTH GOLIAD STREET • ROCKWALL, TX 75087 • [P] (972) 771-7745





City of Rockwall Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, Texas 75087 (P): (972) 771-7745 (W): www.rockwall.com

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.



City of Rockwall The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide Planning & Zoning Department 385 S. Goliad Street timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of Rockwall, Texas 75087 merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information (P): (972) 771-7745 is the sole responsibility of the user. (W): www.rockwall.com Feet 66 SQUABBLE CREEK LN 360 540 90 180 0 -720 KERNO **VASH S CLARK ST** E INTERURBAN ST

E KAUFMAN ST

N TYLER ST

SAN ANTONIO SI E RUSK ST S SAN ANTONIO ST E WASHINGTON ST South Prong Squabble Creek Tributary 4 a WADEDR S CLARK S Legend Subject Property 200 Foot Buffer **Notified Properties** HARTMAN ST

Case Number: H2025-013 Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Case Name: Rockwall Community Playhouse Case Type: Historic Zoning: Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District Case Address: 609 E. Rusk Street & 606,610 and 612 Kaufman Street

Date Saved: 6/4/2025

For Questions on this Case Call: (972) 771-7745



QUINTON BILLY & AUTUMN 102 N TYLER ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 106 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> WIMPEE JOE AND BEAU WIMPEE 1800 DALTON RD ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RAGSDALE DONALD K & FRANCES 202 N CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

MCDONALD ROBERT G & MICHELLE A 206 N CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WEBB RODNEY D AND FRAN W 507 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 601 E WASHINGTON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> GALVAN CARMAN 604 E KAUFMAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARPER VICKI DAWSON 605 E KAUFMAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WILLIAMS JERRY LANE 608 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 102 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARPER LYDIA 1200 CLEVELAND STREET APT 327 DENTON, TX 76201

VANILLA BEAN PROPERTIES SERIES 9 LLC 2 MANOR COURT HEATH, TX 75032

> EDWARDS MORGAN BROOKE 203 N Clark St Rockwall, TX 75087

RICHARD HARRIS AND JUDY HARRIS FAMILY TRUST **RICHARD AND JUDY HARRIS- TRUSTEES 210 GLENN AVENUE** ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 601 E KAUFMAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> RESIDENT 602 E RUSK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HORNER ANDREA J 604 E. RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 605 E RUSK ROCKWALL, TX 75087

ROCKWALL COMMUNITY PLAYHOUSE 609 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GATES ROBERT V & CHRISTI C 104 S CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

SMILEY KAREN APRIL **INDEPENDENT ADMINISTRATOR - ESTATE OF** ROBERT THOMAS WIKTORCH 1319 Nevarc Rd Warminster, PA 18974

> RESIDENT 201 N CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

CAWTHON RICK AND PAULETTE DENISE 205 N CLARK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

> GLASS JERRY 301 MEADOWDALE DR ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 601 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

WALKER KELLI & JESSICA 603 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

HARPER VICKI DAWSON 605 E KAUFMAN ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087

GREEN JAMES KEITH II & HOLLY NICOLE 605 E WASHINGTON STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

REEVES ASHLEY ELLEN & DANIEL GARRET 609 EAST WASHINGTON STREET ROCKWALL, TX 75087

RESIDENT 610 E KAUFMAN ROCKWALL, TX 75087 SMITH GREGORY P & MARILYN 610 E RUSK ST ROCKWALL, TX 75087 RESIDENT 801 E WASHINGTON ROCKWALL, TX 75087

COX GERALD GLEN AND ROSALBA CARRASCO 815 T L Townsend Dr Ste 100 Rockwall, TX 75087

PUBLIC NOTICE

Property Owner and/or Resident of the City of Rockwall:

You are hereby notified that the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will consider the following application:

Case No. H2025-013: Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Rockwall Community Playhouse

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Johnathan Brown, AIA of JHP Architecture and Urban Design on behalf of Darlene Singleton of the Rockwall Community Playhouse for the approval of a <u>Certificate of Appropriateness (COA)</u> for [1] the demolition of two (2) Medium Contributing Structures, [2] the construction of a new performance center and practice hall, and [3] a zoning change from a Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for two (2) Medium-Contributing Properties, one (1) Low Contributing Property, and two (2) Non-Contributing Properties being a 0.805-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 120D and all of Lots 120C & 120G of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, situated within the Old Town Rockwall (OTR) Historic District, addressed as 609 E. Rusk Street and 606, 610, & 612 Kaufman Street, and take any action necessary.

For the purpose of considering the effects of such a request, the Historic Preservation Advisory Board will hold a public hearing on <u>Thursday, June 19, 2025 at 6:00</u> <u>PM</u>. This hearing will be held in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, 385 S. Goliad Street.

As an interested property owner, you are invited to attend these meetings. If you prefer to express your thoughts in writing please return the form to:

Henry Lee Rockwall Planning and Zoning Dept. 385 S. Goliad Street Rockwall, TX 75087

You may also email your comments to the Planning Department at planning@rockwall.com. If you choose to email the Planning Department please include your name and address for identification purposes.

Your comments must be received by <u>Thursday, June 19, 2025 at 4:00 PM</u> to ensure they are included in the information provided to the Historic Preservation Advisory Board.

Sincerely,

Ryan Miller, AICP Director of Planning & Zoning

- - PLEASE RETURN THE BELOW FORM

Case No.H2025-013: Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) for Rockwall Community Playhouse

Please place a check mark on the appropriate line below:

I am in favor of the request for the reasons listed below.

I am opposed to the request for the reasons listed below.

Name:

Address:

Tex. Loc. Gov. Code, Sec. 211.006 (d) If a proposed change to a regulation or boundary is protested in accordance with this subsection, the proposed change must receive, in order to take effect, the affirmative vote of at least three-fourths of all members of the governing body. The protest must be written and signed by the owners of at least 20 percent of either: (1) the area of the lots or land covered by the proposed change; or (2) the area of the lots or land immediately adjoining the area covered by the proposed change and extending 200 feet from that area.





A FIRM WITH A **VIBRANT & EXCITING** CULTURE RECOGNIZED FOR ELEVATED DESIGN



Φ

Playhous

Community

Rockwall, Texas

SITE PLAN

Rockwall

Copyright © JHP 2025. Not fo Regulatory Approval, Permit o Construction: Carl M. Malcolm, Registered Architect of State of Texas, Registration No. 23379.

#2019009 thaole







Scale: 1/16" = 1'-0"

4



A FIRM WITH A **VIBRANT & EXCITING** CULTURE RECOGNIZED FOR ELEVATED DESIGN



Playhouse

Rockwall, Texas

PLAYHOUSE **ELEVATIONS**

Rockwall Community

Copyright © JHP 2025. Not fo Regulatory Approval, Permit o Construction: Carl M. Malcolm. Regist

#2019009 thaole













A FIRM WITH A VIBRANT & EXCITING CULTURE RECOGNIZED FOR ELEVATED DESIGN



Playhouse

Rockwall, Texas

Rockwall Community



NOT TO SCALE

PLAYHOUSE **ELEVATIONS**

Copyright © JHP 2025. Not fo Regulatory Approval, Permit o Construction: Carl M. Malcolm. Registered Architect of State of Texas, Registration No. 23379.

#2019009 thaole





Scale: 1/16" = 1'-0"

PRACTICE HALL - WEST ELEVATION Scale: 1/16" = 1'-0"



A FIRM WITH A VIBRANT & EXCITING CULTURE RECOGNIZED FOR ELEVATED DESIGN



Playhouse

Rockwall, Texas



PLAYHOUSE **ELEVATIONS**

Rockwall Community

Copyright © JHP 2025. Not egulatory Approval, Construction: Carl M. Malcolm Rev

#2019009 thaole



Rockwall Community Playhouse PD Ordinance Development Standards - DRAFT

	PD Ordinance Development Standards	- DRAFT		
Standards	Downtown District (DT) Code (Base Zoning)	Proposed with Planned Development (PD)		
Setbacks & Lot Standards	(2000 201118)			
Front yard along E Kaufman	18 FT	20 FT		
Front yard along E Clark	18 FT	15 FT		
Front yard along E Rusk	20 FT	Compliant		
Side Yard (adjacent to residential)	20 FT	10 FT		
Build to (setback) measured from:	Street back of curb	Street right of way		
Perimeter landscape buffer adjacent to public right-of-way	10 FT	Compliant		
Perimeter landscape buffer adjacent to residential	20 FT	10 FT		
Residential Proximity Slope	NA	None required		
Minimum Lot Area	NA	NA		
Minimum Lot Width	NA	NA		
Minimum Lot Depth	NA	NA		
Minimum Block Length	200 FT	Compliant		
Maximum Block Length	600 FT	Compliant		
Pedestrian walkway width	10-FT	4-FT		
Building Height & Architectural Standa	ards			
Max Building Height	2 stories	1 story; max 55 FT height		
Min Building Height	2 stories	1 story		
Requirement of tri-partite architecture?	Yes	No		
Architecture? Minimum window reveal	4"	No reveal required		
Minimum inset requirement of primary	5 FT	0 FT		
entry from front building plane	571	071		
Minimum spacing of functioning entries	30-FT	No minimum requirement		
Can architectural elements of the primary building façade encroach	Yes; 5-FT encroachment allowed with minor waiver	Yes; 15-FT encroachment (including doors, doors flatwork) allowed without minor waiver		
beyond the build-to (setback) line?		Min. 10% masonry; unlimited lap siding and board		
floor exterior walls	100% brick, natural or cast stone	and batten; 20% max on all other materials (meta panel, etc.)		
Overall Min. façade requirements for exterior walls facing street right-of- way	Min. 10% masonry; unlimited lap siding and board and batten; 20% max on all other materials (metal panel, etc.)			
Windows and glazing	Minimum 30% and maximum 70% of each building elevation	No minimum (NA; theatre use)		
Dominant color of all buildings shall Building color requirements shades of warm gray, red, green, bei		Color requirements shall be approved at staff leve during site plan process		
Roof color requirements	brown Shall be a shade of cool gray, warm gray, brown or red	Color requirements shall be approved at staff leve during site plan process		
Non-residential at grade	Ground floor entry must be located at the approx. elevation of adjacent sidewalk	This shall only apply to main entry at west building face		
Landscape Requirements				
		0		
Street Trees	Planted 20 FT on center	Compliant		
Min. parking lot screening height	3 FT	Compliant		
Min. parking lot screening opacity	80%	Compliant		
Allowed parking lot screening materials	Evergreen plant materials	Compliant		
Min. frequency of landscape islands	Every 10 parking spaces	No parking lot landscape islands required		
Fencing requirements adjacent to residential	Masonry fence a minimum of 6 FT in height with canopy trees planted on 20-FT centers	Min 6 FT height board on board wood fencing		
Retaining walls permitting within landscape buffer?	-	Yes		
Parking & Access				
Parking & Access Min. offstreet parking required for				
heatre	1 space per 3 seats	1 space per 3.5 seats		
Min. bicycle parking	1 space per 10 automobile parking spaces	Parking requirements to be approved at staff leve during site plan process		
Min. driveway spacing	200 FT	Right turn exit only onto Clark Street shall be permitted		
Lighting, Mechanical & Refuse				
Photometric requirements	-	Photometrics shall be approved at staff level durin site plan process		
Required fixture	-	Fixtures to be approved at staff level during site plan process		
Refuse requirements	-	No onsite commercial dumpster will be required. Residential trash bins shall be permitted.		
LED lighting permitted	No	Yes		
Permitting Process				
Permitting Process Downtown development plan required				
for building permit?	Yes	No		



407 Clark



501 Clark



503 Clark





621 E Boydstun

627 E Boydstun

511 Clark



A FIRM WITH A VIBRANT & EXCITING CULTURE RECOGNIZED FOR ELEVATED DESIGN



Rockwall, Texas

Rockwall Community Playhouse

LOCAL NEIGHBORHOOD CONTEXT IMAGES

Copyright © JHP 2025. Not for Regulatory Approval, Permit or Construction: Carl M. Malcolm. Registered Architect of State of Texas, Registration No. 23379.

#2019009 thaole



















CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 25-XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL. TEXAS. AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO CHANGE THE ZONING FROM A SINGLE FAMILY 7 (SF-7) DISTRICT TO PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT XX (PD -XX) FOR SINGLE- FAMILY 7 (SF-7) DISTRICT LAND USES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY, BEING A 1.0061-ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS PORTION OF LOT 120D AND ALL OF LOTS 120C & 120G OF THE B. F. BOYDSTON ADDITION. CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; **PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE: PROVIDING FOR** A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Jonathan Brown on behalf of Darlene Singleton, requesting the approval of a zoning change from a Single Family 7 (SF-7) District to a Planned Development District for Single Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses being a 1.0061-acre tract of land identified as a portion of Lot 120D and all of Lots 120C & 120G of the B. F. Boydston Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, more fully described and depicted in *Exhibit* 'A' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the *Subject Property* and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the *Subject Property* shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes authorized by this Planned Development District ordinance and the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future;

SECTION 2. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Concept Plan*, described in *Exhibit 'B'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'B'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 3. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *Conceptual Building Elevations*, described in *Exhibit 'C'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'C'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 4. That development of the *Subject Property* shall generally be in accordance with the *PD Development Standards*, described in *Exhibit 'D'* of this ordinance, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as *Exhibit 'D'*, which is deemed hereby to be a condition of approval of the amended zoning classification for the *Subject Property*;

SECTION 5. That the official zoning map of the City of Rockwall, Texas be corrected to reflect the change in zoning described here in.

SECTION 6. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of two thousand dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 7. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*], and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 8. The standards in this ordinance shall control in the event of a conflict between this ordinance and any provision of the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] of any provision of the *City Code*, ordinance, resolution, rule, regulation, or procedure that provides a specific standard that is different from and inconsistent with this ordinance. References to zoning district regulations or other standards in the Unified Development Code [*Ordinance No. 20-02*] (*including references to the Unified Development Code*), and references to overlay districts, in this ordinance or any of the *Exhibits* hereto are those in effect on the date this ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;

SECTION 9. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage;

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE 4TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2025.

ATTEST:	Tim McCallum, <i>Mayor</i>
Z2025- <mark>0XX</mark> : Zoning Change SF-7 to PD Page 2 Ordinance No. 25-XX: PD-XX	City of Rockwall, Texas

Kristy Teague, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney

1st Reading: July 21, 2025

2nd Reading: August 4, 2025

4

EXHIBIT 'A':

Legal Description and Survey

BEING 1.04 acres of land situated in Abstract 14, B.F. Boydstun Survey in the County of Rockwall, Texas and being more particularly described by metes and bounds as follows:

BEGINNING in the Northwest corner of North Clark Street and East Rusk Street, RCAD#14333, (NAD83 Texas State Plane GPS Coordinate (Grid): E 2,596,018.343, N 7,027,794.521 Feet);

THENCE South 88°46'33" West, along the Northern Right of Way of East Rusk Street, a distance of 189.985 feet for a corner;

THENCE North 01°14'22" West, a distance of 105.104 feet for a corner;

THENCE South 88°58'51" West, a distance of 72.321 feet for a corner;

THENCE North 01°13'29" West, a distance of 96.558 feet for a corner;

THENCE North 89°11'43" East, along the Southern Right of Way of East Kaufman Street, a distance of 262.341 feet for a corner;

THENCE South 01°13'27" East, along the Western Right of Way of North Clark Street, a distance of 200.00 feet to the **POINT OF BEGINNING AND CONTAINING** 1.04 acres of land (45,116.096 square feet) more or less.

EXHIBIT 'A': Legal Description and Survey



Z2025-<mark>0XX</mark>: Zoning Change SF-7 to PD Ordinance No. 25-<mark>XX</mark>; PD-<mark>XX</mark>

EXHIBIT 'B': Concept Plan



EXHIBIT 'C': Conceptual Building Elevations



EXHIBIT 'C': Conceptual Building Elevations



EXHIBIT 'C': Conceptual Building Elevations



EXHIBIT 'D':

PD Development Standards

Density and Development Standards.

(1) <u>Permitted Uses</u>. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District, only those land uses permitted within the Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, as stipulated by the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future, are allowed on the Subject Property; however, the following additional land uses shall be permitted on the Subject Property:

The following land uses shall be permitted *by-right* on the Subject Property:

☑ Theater¹

<u>NOTE</u>:

- ¹: <u>Theater</u>. A Theater, shall be defined as a Community Theater, whereas a Community Theater is a locally organized performing arts organization that produces theatrical productions primarily for the enjoyment, participation, and cultural enrichment of the local community.
- (2) <u>Density and Dimensional Requirements</u>. Unless specifically provided by this Planned Development District ordinance, the development standards stipulated by the Single Family 7 (SF-7) District, as specified by Article 05, *District Development Standards*, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) are applicable to all development on the *Subject Property*. All development on the *Subject Property* shall conform to the standards depicted in *Table 1*, which are as follows:

TABLE 1: DENISTY AND DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENTS

ORDINANCE PROVISIONS	ZONING DISTRICT STANDARDS
MINIMUM LOT AREA	7,000 SF
MINIMUM LOT FRONTAGE	60'
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH	100'
	X=20' E. KAUFMAN STREET
MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	X=15' N. CLARK STREET
	X=20' E. RUSK STREET
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	10'
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK	10'
SIDE YARD ADJACENT TO RESIDENTIAL	10'
MAXIMUM BUILDING HEIGHT	55'
MAX BUILDING/LOT COVERAGE	45%
MINIMUM NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES	1 PER 3.20 SEATS

- (3) <u>Residential Adjacency</u>. The Subject Property shall be required to provide and maintain a ten (10) foot landscape buffer adjacent to any residentially zoned or used property. The buffer shall include a minimum of a six (6) foot or a maximum of eight (8) foot standard cedar fence, canopy trees on 20-foot centers, and a row of evergreen shrubs along the entire adjacency.
- (4) <u>Landscape Standards</u>. Landscaping shall be reviewed and approved with the Site Plan. All Canopy/Shade Trees planted within this Planned Development District shall be a minimum of four (4) caliper inches in size, all Accent/Ornamental/Under-Story Trees shall be a minimum

EXHIBIT 'D':

PD Development Standards

of four (4) feet in total height, all *Shrubs* shall be a minimum of five (5) gallons at the time of planting.

- <u>Landscape Buffer (E. Kaufman Street</u>). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along E. Kaufman Street, and shall incorporate a row of evergreen shrubs along the entire frontage.
- (2) <u>Landscape Buffer (N. Clark Street</u>). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along N. Clark Street, and shall incorporate one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage and a row of evergreen shrubs along the entire frontage.
- (3) <u>Landscape Buffer (E. Rusk Street</u>). A minimum of a ten (10) foot landscape buffer shall be provided along N. Clark Street, and shall incorporate one (1) canopy tree and one (1) accent tree per 50-feet of linear frontage, and a row of evergreen shrubs along the entire frontage.