2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MINUTES PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING City Hall, 385 South Goliad, Rockwall, Texas Council Chambers September 10, 2019 6:00 P.M.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	١.	CALL TO ORDER
		Chairman Eric Chodun called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. The Commissioners present at the meeting were, Jerry Welch, Annie Fishman, John Womble, Tracey Logan, and Sedrick Thomas. Absent from the meeting was Mark Moeller. Staff members present were Planning Director, Ryan Miller, Planning Manager, David Gonzales, Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, Planning Coordinator, Laura Morales, City Engineer, Amy Williams, and Civil Engineers, Jeremy White and Sarah Johnston.
18	II.	OPEN FORUM
19 20 21 22		Chairman Chodun explained how Open Forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time.
23 24 25 26		Melba Jeffus 1903 S. FM 549 Rockwall, TX
20 27 28 29		Ms. Jeffus came forward and expressed her strong concern and opposition to a proposed RV park that is being proposed in the County that she feels will greatly negatively impact her property.
30 31 32		Barbara Lee 668 Cornelius Rd. Rockwall, TX
33 34 35 36		Mr. Lee came forward and expressed concern and opposition to the proposed RV park that is being proposed in the County.
37 38 39		Steve Curtis 2130 FM1141 Rockwall, TX
40 41 42 43		Mr. Curtis came forward and expressed concern and opposition to the proposed RV park for the county's proposal.
44 45 46 47		Allen Stevenson 427 Clem Road Rockwall, TX
47 48 49 50 51 52 53		Mr. Stevenson came forward and expressed concern and strong opposition to the proposed RV park that is being proposed by the Count.
		Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward and do so, there being no one indicating such; Chairman Chodun closed the open forum.
54 55	111.	CONSENT AGENDA
55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62		1. Approval of Minutes for the August 13, 2019 Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.
		2. P2019-017 Consider a request by Chase Finch of Corwin Engineering, Inc. on behalf of Suresh Shridharani of Harlan Properties, Inc. for the approval of a preliminary plat for the Emerson Farms Subdivision containing 107 single-family residential lots on a 138.756-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1 of the J. Lockhart Survey, Abstract No. 137, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 76 (PD-

76) for Single Family 1 (SF-1) District land uses, generally located on the east side of Dowell Road south of the intersection of SH-276 and Dowell Road, and take any action necessary.

3. P2019-031

Consider a request by Pat Atkins of the Saddle Star Land Development, LLC on behalf of the owner Jeff Kennemer of the Saddle Star Land Development, LLC for the approval of a final plat for Phase 1 of the Saddle Star South Subdivision containing 66 single-family residential lots on a 26.411-acre tract of land identified as a portion of a larger 44.292-acre tract of land identified as Tract 2-03 of the P. B. Harrison Survey, Abstract No. 97, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 79 (PD-79) for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located on the north side of John King Boulevard east of the intersection of Featherstone Drive John King Boulevard, and take any action necessary.

4. P2019-033

Consider a request by Bill Bricker of Columbia Development Company, LLC on behalf of David and Laura Cline for the approval of a replat for Lot 47, Block D, Park Place West Phase II Addition being a 0.184acre parcel of land being currently identified as Lot 20, Block D, Park Place West Phase II Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 59 (PD-59) for Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District land uses, addressed as 408 Jordan Farm Circle, and take any action necessary.

Commissioner Welch made a motion to approve the consent agenda. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed with a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent.

IV. APPOINTMENTS

5. Appointment with Architectural Review Board representative to receive the Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

Architectural Review representative gave a brief explanation concerning agenda items that were discussed at the Architectural Review Board meeting.

V. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

6. Z2019-017

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Lance Tyler of Marc Development, LLC for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Planned Development District for Two-Family (2F) District land uses to allow townhomes on a 1.27-acre tract of land identified as Tract 29 & 29-1 of the S. S. McCurry Survey, Abstract No. 146, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, addressed as 1451 FM-1141, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, provided an explanation and background of the request. The subject property was annexed in 1961 by Ordinance No. 60-01 and at the time of annexation, the subject property was zoned Agricultural District. Currently situated on the subject property is a 2,176 square foot single-family home, which according to the Rockwall Central Appraisal District was constructed in 1971. The applicant is requesting to change the zoning of the subject property from an Agricultural District to a Planned Development District for Residential-Office District land uses for the purpose of constructing townhomes. The proposed development will consist of 12 townhomes laid out as two groups of six homes and a private park/open space. The development will incorporate approximately 64% open space, and will have a density of 9.45 units per gross acre. The proposed townhomes will be constructed utilizing a Neo-Traditional architecture style and utilize a combination of brick and cementitious lap siding being Hardy Board. The homes will incorporate front porches, rear facing garages, and utilize extended driveways with a minimum of 40-feet in length to accommodate guest parking. The applicant has stated that the purposed 40foot long driveway can accommodate up to four vehicles and that with the garage parking each unit will have a total of six parking spaces for vehicles. The garages will be accessible via an existing 20-foot public right-of-way that is accessible through Phase 6 of the Caruth Lakes Subdivision, and that serves as an alleyway for seven single-family homes. According to the applicant, each home will be a maximum of two stories in height and be a minimum of 2,000 square feet in size. The front and rear building elevations will not repeat without at least two intervening homes of differing appearance such as front encroachment layout, primary materials, roof type and layout, or articulation of the front façade.

126 Mr. Brooks went on to share that according to the concept plan submitted by the applicant the 127 private park will include amenities such as benches, bike racks, and a trail that will meander 128 through the development and connect to the existing sidewalk adjacent to John King Boulevard. 129 The private park will be maintained by the Homeowner's Association, will be located in the front 130 of the homes, and will include a pedestrian rest area that connects to FM-1141. This area will 131 include a wrought iron fence that will be four feet in height and an emergency access lane will be 132 accessible from FM-1141 and will utilize bollards to prohibit motor-vehicle access. This area will 133 incorporate upgraded pavement and decorative brick pavers. In addition, the private park area 134 will be directly adjacent to a property owned by the Caruth Ridge Estates Homeowner's 135 Association and which is undevelopable. This means that the townhomes will be setback a 136 minimum of 120-feet from John King Boulevard. The proposed Planned Development District will 137 be subject to the land uses and requirements stipulated for the Residential-Office District unless 138 specifically called out in the Planned Development District ordinance. In order to develop the 139 subject property as shown on the concept plan, the applicant will be required to provide drainage 140 and detention on the property to prevent increasing the stormwater run-off in the Squabble Creek 141 Watershed. Additionally, an eight inch water line will be required to be installed along the entire 142 length of the property line adjacent to FM-1141 and each home will be required to have an 143 individual water meter. With regard to sanitary sewer, the applicant will need to perform an 144 Infrastructure Study and decide if the sanitary sewer will connect to the existing subdivision 145 through the alleyway, or [2] down FM-1141 to Waters Edge Drive. Regardless, the sanitary sewer 146 line will need to be a minimum of an eight inch pipe and meet all applicable City standards. 147

> Mr. Brooks further noted that according to the Unified Development Code, the Residential Office District is a zoning district intended to recognize the existence of older residential areas of the city where larger houses have been or can be converted from single-family uses to low-intensity office uses in order to extend the economic life of these structures. The UDC goes on the state that a Residential Office District should have principle access to major or secondary thoroughfares and serves as a transition between high intensity, non-residential areas to lower intensity residential areas. In this case, the applicant is proposing a new townhome development, which according to the Unified Development Code is a land use that is only permitted in the Residential-Office, Multi-Family 14 and Downtown Districts. Based on this limitation staff tied the Planned Development District ordinance to the Residential-Office District as this district is the most restrictive and most appropriate district considering the subject property's adjacencies. Additionally, this development does seem to serve as a transition from the proposed higher intensity general retail land uses to the south and east of the subject property to the lower intensity single-family residential land uses to the north and west of the subject property.

Mr. Brooks further noted that On August 31, 2019, staff sent 75 notices to property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property. Staff also notified the Caruth Lakes Homeowner's Association, which is the only HOA/Neighborhood Association within 1,500-feet of the subject property and participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. At the time this report was written, staff had received 21 notices in opposition and one notice in favor of the request.

Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked for questions from the Commission for staff.

Commissioner Fishman asked in regards to how the Residential Office use would be restricted. Mr. Brooks explained that should any changes be requested aside from the townhome use, there would have to be a request to change the Planned Development which would be a zoning change that would require notifications be sent out to surrounding properties.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward and speak.

182 Lance Tyler 183 1501 184 Rockwall, TX 185

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162 163

164

165

166

167

168

169 170

171

172 173

174 175

176

177

178

179 180

181

187

186 Mr. Tyler came forward and provided additional details pertaining to the request. He indicated he was available for questions.

188 189	Chairman Chodun asked for questions from the Commission for the applicant.
190	Commissioner Logan expressed concern with the limited space that the driveways provide. Mr.
191	Tyler shared that with providing a 40 foot driveway they feel that would provide sufficient parking.
192	Tyter shared that with providing a 40 root driveway they reer that would provide sufficient parking.
193	Chairman Chodun opened up the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come
194	forward and do so.
195	
196	Aaron McGrew
197	1160 Crystal Lake
198	Rockwall, TX
199	
200	Mr. McGreew came forward and generally expressed the opposition of the request. His property
201	backs up to the proposed site and he shared he feels it will greatly impact his home.
202	
203	Colleen Gauvin
204	1421 Whitewater Lane
205	Rockwall, TX
206	
207	Ms. Gauvin came forward and expressed her strong opposition to the request.
208 209	Detrick Mullowney
210	Patrick Mullowney 1409 Whitewater Lane
211	Rockwall, TX
212	Kockwall, TA
213	Mr. Malloney came forward and expressed his strong opposition to the request.
214	in manoney cane forward and expressed his strong opposition to the request.
215	John Lahair
216	1174 Crystal Lake Drive.
217	Rockwall, TX
218	
219	Mr. Lahair came forward and expressed being in opposition of the request for townhomes in this
220	area.
221	
222	Bob Wacker
223	Rockwall, TX
224 225	Mr. Maakar assessment and abarad his annexities to the second
226	Mr. Wacker came forward and shared his opposition to the request.
227	Rosie Cox
228	1800 E. Quail Run Road
229	Rockwall, TX
230	
231	Mrs. Cox came forward and shared she feels townhomes are needed.
232	
233	Glen Cox
234	
	1800 E. Quail Run Road
235	1800 E. Quail Run Road Rockwall, TX
236	Rockwall, TX
236 237	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of
236 237 238	Rockwall, TX
236 237 238 239	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal.
236 237 238 239 240	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam
236 237 238 239 240 241	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive
236 237 238 239 240 241 242	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive Rockwall, TX
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive Rockwall, TX
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive Rockwall, TX Mr. Rylaarsdam came forward and expressed opposition to the request.
236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 243 244 245 246	Rockwall, TX Mr. Cox came forward and expressed the need and inevitable growth and shared being in favor of the proposal. Austin Rylaarsdam 1035 Hampton Bay Drive Rockwall, TX Mr. Rylaarsdam came forward and expressed opposition to the request. Jim Turner

250	Mr. Turner came forward and shared his opposition for the request.
251	
252	Donna Dorman
253	1093 Shady Grove
254	Rockwall, TX
255	
256	Ms. Dorman came forward and expressed opposition of the request.
257	ins. Bornan came forward and expressed opposition of the request.
258	Steve Curtis
	2130 FM 1141
259	
260	Rockwall, TX
261	
262	Mr. Curtis came forward and expressed his opposition to the request.
263	
264	Melba Jeffus
265	1903 S. FM 549
266	Rockwall, TX
267	
268	Ms. Jeffus came forward and shared concern over the limited space alleyways would provide. He
269	expressed his opposition of the request.
270	
271	Lori McGary
272	1327 Crescent Cove
273	Rockwall, TX
274	Rockwall, I A
275	Mr. McGary came forward and generally expressed being in opposition of the request.
276	
277	Tim Baker
278	1386 Whitewater
279	Rockwall, TX
280	
281	Mr. Baker came forward and shared his opposition to the request for the townhomes being
282	proposed.
283	
284	Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward and do so, there being
285	no one indicating such; Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing.
286	
287	Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.
288	
289	Commissioner Womble generally expressed not being in favor of the development in this area
290	
291	although townhomes are a product the city could use, this not being the ideal area. Commissioner
	Fishman and Commissioner Logan expressed not being in favor of the proposal due to the area it
292	is being proposed.
293	
294	After discussion from the Commission generally expressing not being in favor of the request,
295	Commissioner Welch made a motion to deny Z2019-017. Commissioner Fishman seconded the
296	motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent.
297	
298	
299	7. Z2019-018
300	Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Rob Whittle for the approval of an amendment
301	to Planned Development District 5 (PD-5) to change the garage setback requirements for an 11.003-acre
302	tract of land identified as Lots 1-40, Block A, the Highlands Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County,
303	Texas, zoned Planned Development District 5 (PD-5) for Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District land uses, situated
304	within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY OV) District, located at the northwest corner of the
305	intersection of SH-66 and FM-1114, and take any action necessary.
306	
307	Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided an explanation and background of the request a request
308	by Rob Whittle for the approval of an amendment to Planned Development District 5 to change the
309	garage setback requirements for an 11.003-acre tract of land. The subject property was annexed
310	in 1959 by Ordinance No. 60-01 zoned to Planned Development District 5 in 1973 by Ordinance No.
311	73-31. This ordinance included a concept plan that showed that the subject property was

designated for a Neighborhood Shopping Center and Garden Apartments. Planned Development District 5 was amended in1987 by Ordinance No. 87-23. This zoning amendment incorporated a new concept plan and development standards designating the property for Multifamily land uses. In 1996, at the request of the City of Rockwall, the City Council approved Ordinance No. 96-25 amending Planned Development District 5 and changing the designation of the subject property to Zero Lot Line land uses. On May 15, 2017, a preliminary plat for the Highlands Subdivision consisting of 53 single-family homes on a 13.376-acre tract of land was approved. As part of this case, the applicant proposed a five foot side yard building setback in lieu of the zero ten foot side yard setback permitted in the Zero Lot Line District. This preliminary plat was later amended decreasing the number of lots and land area to 43 single-family residential lots on the 11.003-acre subject property. On January 16, 2018, the City Council approved the final plat for the Highlands Subdivision, which consisted of 36 single family residential lots and four open space lots on the subject property.

Mr. Miller further noted that the applicant is requesting to change the garage setback requirements which currently require a J-Swing or Traditional Swing garage orientation, or recessed front entry with a minimum of 20-feet behind the front façade of the primary structure to allow 100% recessed front entry garages that are setback a minimum of five feet from the primary structure. As a compensatory measure for this request, the applicant has proposed incorporating a 25-foot front yard building setback in lieu of the current 20-foot front yard building setback. This will create a 30-foot driveway for the proposed housing product as opposed to the 40-foot driveway created by the recessed front entry required by the Unified Development Code, and set the house back an addition five feet off of public right-of-way. As staff has been done with past amendments to existing planned development districts that consist of several regulating ordinances, staff has taken this opportunity to consolidate the regulating ordinances of Planned Development District 5 into one ordinance; however, no changes with regard to the requirements, intent or permitted land uses have been made to any other portion of the planned development district with the exception of changing the garage requirements for the subject property.

Mr. Miller went on to share that the applicant is proposing to set the primary structure a minimum of 25-feet from the front property line. This coupled with the proposed five foot recessed garage would create a minimum of a 30-foot driveway or ten feet less than the 40-foot driveway created by meeting the current requirements. The only part of the applicant's request that is not in conformance with the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan is the applicant's request to incorporate 100% flat front entry. The applicant has stated that the reason for this request is due to the inability to incorporate a J-Swing or Traditional Swing garage orientation with a 50-foot wide lot.

Mr. Miller advised the Commission that staff also emailed notices to the Caruth Lakes/Caruth Ridge Estates, Lakeview Summit, the Preserve, Stone Creek, and Quail Run Valley Homeowner's Associations (HOA), which are the only HOA's located within 1,500 feet of the subject property. Additionally, staff posted a sign on the subject property, and advertised the public hearings in the Rockwall Herald Banner as required by the Unified Development Code notices returned.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

Rob Whittle P.O. Box 369 Rockwall, TX

 Mr. Whittle came forward and provided additional details pertaining to the request and indicated he was available for questions.

Chairman Chodun opened up the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward and do so. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Logan made a motion to approve Z2019-018 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Welch seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. 8. Z2019-019

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

413

414

415

416

417 418

419

420 421

422 423

424 425

426

427 428

434

435

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Doug Henderson of Crafton Communications, Inc. for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) allowing a *Freestanding Commercial Antenna* on a 0.0055-acre portion of a larger 1.24-acre parcel of land, identified as Lot 9, Block A, Horizon Ridge Center Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 9 (PD-9) for General Retail (GR) District land uses, addressed as 920 Rockwall Parkway, and take any action necessary.

382 Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, provided an explanation of the request for the approval of a Specific 383 Use Permit allowing a Freestanding Commercial Antenna. According to the applicant, the 384 proposed communications tower will be approximately 110-feet in height and will be situated 385 behind the main structure which is Fire Station No. 2. Currently, there is an existing 386 telecommunications tower that is approximately 200-feet in height and will remain in order to serve 387 the needs of the fire station and other emergency responders. The proposed tower will be a 388 freestanding tower and include a ground-mounted equipment cabinet that will be approximately 389 560 square feet and approximately nine feet in height. The applicant is proposing to screen the 390 existing ground-mounted telecommunications equipment and the new equipment with a 391 combination of a wrought iron fence that will be six feet height and mature landscaping. The 392 proposed landscaping will be Leland Cypress trees that are a minimum of six feet in height and 393 planted between five to six feet on-center. Mr. Brooks went on to share that there is a single-394 family residential subdivision located immediately across Summer Lee Drive and, if approved, 395 there will be a second tower on the subject property. The proposed tower will be approximately 396 one-half the height of the existing tower. Due to the design of the tower it appears that the tower 397 will occupy a smaller footprint since no guy-wires will be necessary. Given that there is an existing 398 telecommunications tower located adjacent to the proposed monopole tower coupled with the 399 proposed landscape screening of the existing and proposed equipment, approval of this request 400 to allow a second telecommunications tower on the subject property does not appear to negatively 401 impact the subject property or the surrounding properties. Additionally according to the Unified 402 Development Code, a freestanding commercial antenna shall not exceed 125-feet in height. The 403 Unified Development Code also stipulates that any necessary equipment building shall be 404 enclosed by a decorative iron fence surrounded by a screening hedge, which will achieve a height 405 of at least six feet at maturity. In this case, the proposed freestanding antenna will be 110-feet in 406 height and the applicant is proposing to provide a wrought iron fence with mature landscaping to 407 screen the equipment cabinet. Due to the proposed tower being lower than the maximum 408 allowable height and the applicant will be providing the decorative fence with landscaping, and 409 therefore appears to conform to the requirements of the Unified Development Code. If the request 410 should be approved the applicant would be required to submit a site plan to be reviewed by 411 Planning and Zoning Commission. 412

Mr. Brooks further noted that on August 30, 2019, staff notified 61 property owners and occupants within 500-feet of the subject property and also notified the Foxchase Homeowner's Association. At the time the meeting was held staff received 16 notices in opposition and 3 in favor of the request.

Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

David

Chairman Chodun opened up the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward and do so.

Mr. Maze came forward and expressed concern with the height of the tower.

 429
 Chris Sipple

 430
 Chris Sipple

 431
 1145 Skylar

 432
 Rockwall, TX

 433
 Chris Sipple

Mr. Sipple came forward and expressed his opposition to the request.

436	Carol Gemmell
437	1157 Skylar Drive
438	Rockwall, TX
439	
440	Ms. Commoll come forward and expressed her strong expectition to the request
	Ms. Gemmell came forward and expressed her strong opposition to the request.
441	
442	Kyle Cavendar
443	1169 Skylar
444	Rockwall, TX
445	
446	Mr. Cavendar came forward and expressed his opposition to the request.
447	
448	Mike Richard
449	
	1163 Skylar
450	Rockwall, TX
451	
452	Mr. Richard came forward and expressed his opposition to the request. He feels it will lower the
453	value of his home.
454	
455	Jennifer Sipple
456	1169 Skylar
457	Rockwall, TX
458	Nookwall, TX
459	Mo. Simple some forward and surgested has an estimate the neurost
	Ms. Sipple came forward and expressed her opposition to the request.
460	
461	Tony Gemmell
462	1157 Skylar Drive
463	Rockwall, TX
464	
465	Mr. Gemmell came forward and expressed his opposition to the request. He shared concerns with
466	the safety issues such towers so close to his home would result in.
467	
468	Larry Warren
469	921 Hunters Glen
470	Rockwall, TX
471	
472	Mr. Warren came forward and expressed his opposition to the request.
473	with warren came forward and expressed ins opposition to the request.
474	Chairman Chadun asked if anyone also wished to speak to some ferward and do so there being
	Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward and do so, there being
475	no one indicating such; Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and asked the applicant to
476	come forward for any rebuttal.
477	
478	Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.
479	
480	Extensive discussion took place between the Commission shared generally not being in favor of
481	the request.
482	
483	Commissioner Fishman made a motion to deny Z2019-019. Commissioner Womble seconded the
484	motion which passed by a vote of 5-1 with Commissioner Logan dissenting and Commissioner
485	Moeller absent.
486	
487	
488	0 72010 020
	9. Z2019-020
489	Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Todd Panzner for the approval of a zoning
490	change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Commercial (C) District for a 11.85-acre tract of land
491	identified as Tract 1-1 of the J. H. Bailey Survey, Abstract No. 22, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County,
492	Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, situated within the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, generally
493	located south of the intersection of Green Circle and SH-276, and take any action necessary.
494	Planning Manager, David Gonzales, provided a brief explanation and background of the request
495	for the approval of a zoning change from an Agricultural District to a Commercial District. The
496	applicant is requesting to rezone the subject property from an Agricultural District to a
497	Commercial District for the purpose of facilitating the sale or future development of the property.

Currently, the subject property is vacant, and consists of 11.85-acres. The majority of this tract of land is situated within the 100-year floodplain, which will limit the development this property in the future. When development occurs on this property adequate public services, infrastructure, necessary to serve the property will be required and all proposed infrastructure improvements must meet the Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction.

On August 28, 2019, staff mailed 16 notices to property owners and residents within 500-feet of the subject property. Staff did not send a neighborhood notification as there are no Homeowners Associations within 1,500-feet of the subject property. No responses were received for or against.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

Todd Panzner 1600 Eldridge Parkway Houston, TX

498

499

500

501

502

503 504

505

506

507 508

509 510

511

512

513 514

515

516 517

518

519

520 521

522

523

524 525

526 527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534 535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

559

Mr. Panzner came forward and provided additional information in regards to the request and indicated he was available for questions.

Chairman Chodun opened up the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward and do so. There being no one indicating such, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Welch made a motion to approve Z2019-020 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent.

VI. ACTION ITEMS

10. MIS2019-010

Discuss and consider a request by Tim Lyssy of the Rockwall Independent School District (RISD) for the approval of an exception to the minimum masonry requirements for a property in the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District for the purpose of constructing an accessory building on a 35.295-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Rockwall High School Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, addressed as 901 W. Yellow Jacket Lane, and take any action necessary.

Senior Planner, Korey Brook, provided a brief explanation of the request. The applicant is requesting approval of an exception to the minimum masonry requirements as stipulated in the Unified Development Code. The purpose of the exception is to allow the construction of a metal accessory building on the subject property. The proposed building will be 12' x 18', utilize a shingled pitched roof system, and be clad with cementitious lap siding that will match the existing accessory buildings. The proposed structure will be located adjacent to the existing accessory buildings and the applicant has stated that the structure will be screened from public view by the retaining wall, the existing building, and existing landscaping. According to the applicant, the new building will be approximately 40% smaller than the existing accessory buildings and will be utilized as additional storage for the school's athletics department. The Unified Development Code stipulates that each exterior wall or a building consist of 90% primary materials with cementitious materials being limited to 50% of the overall primary material being utilized. In this case, since the applicant is requesting to utilize 100% cementitious materials, an exception to the materials and masonry composition standards is required to be approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission. Additionally, after the accessory building is constructed there will be a total of three accessory buildings on the site. In this case, the proposed accessory building is considered to be a commercial structure that is allowed by-right and the Planning and Zoning Commission is tasked with reviewing the masonry exception to determine if approval of the exception will negatively impact the subject property or other properties within the IH-30 Overlay District. The applicant has submitted a site plan and building elevations for the proposed accessory building.

556 Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as 557 well as staff. 558

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

Mr. Lissy came forward and provided additional information pertaining to the request and indicated he was available for questions.

Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Fishman made a motion to approve MIS2019-010 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent.

11. SP2019-030

Discuss and consider a request by David A. Morales of Pross Design Group, Inc. on behalf of Carolina Molina of Alvaplast US (SPR Packaging) for the approval of a site plan for an expansion of an existing office/warehouse/manufacturing facility on a 10.1893-acre tract of land identified Lot 2, Block A, SPR Packaging Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, addressed as 1480 Justin Road, and take any action necessary.

Planning Manager, David Gonzales, provided a brief explanation and background of the request. The applicant is requesting approval of an amended site plan for the purpose of constructing an approximately 22,564 square foot, two story office addition to their existing office/warehouse/manufacturing facility being SPR Packing. Additionally, the site will incorporate a 7,046 square foot one story ink/locker room that is located on the south facing façade. The office addition will be composed of a curtain wall system, canopies finished with aluminum composite materials ACM paneling, and EIFS. The applicant is requesting variances to allow for the ACM paneling and EIFS. The ink/locker room addition will be composed of tilt-up wall construction, matching their existing building and be located on the southern portion of the existing facility. The site is subject to the requirements and land uses stipulated for the Light Industrial District as stated by the Unified Development Code. Additionally according the Unified Development Code, a warehouse facility is a permitted by-right land use in a Light Industrial District. Other than the variances being requested, the submitted site plan, landscape plan, treescape plan, photometric plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical requirements contained within the UDC for a property located within a Light Industrial District.

Mr. Brooks further noted that the treescape plan provided by the applicant indicates there are existing trees totaling 762-caliper inches on site, with six trees totaling 51-caliper inches being removed due to the proposed expansion. Of the caliper inches being removed, 12-inches will require mitigation. The applicant is providing a total of 24-caliper inches on site and according to the UDC, the Planning and Zoning Commission will review and approve or disapprove the treescape plan. Mr. Brooks went on to explain the variances the applicant is seeking which the Architectural Review Board reviewed the proposed building elevations and variances to the stone, secondary material, and articulation requirements, and approved a motion to recommend approval of the building elevations and variances being requested. Variances are discretionary decisions for the Planning and Zoning Commission and will require approval by a ³/₄ majority vote. In the event that the exception is denied, the applicant has the ability to appeal the Planning and Zoning Council by filing a written request with the Planning and Zoning Department.

Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

Bobby Pross 4050 Wayne's Brick Road Dallas, TX

619 Mr. Pross came forward and provided additional comments in regards to the request and indicated
 620 he was available for questions.
 621

622 Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action. 623 624 Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve building materials. Commissioner Womble 625 seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. 626 627 Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve the articulation. Commissioner Womble 628 seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. 629 630 Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve parking. Commissioner Fishman seconded the 631 motion which passed by a vote 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. 632 633 Commissioner Logan made a motion to approve the dumpster enclosure. Commissioner Thomas 634 seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Moeller absent. 635 636 Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve the site plan with staff recommendations. 637 Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner 638 Moeller absent. 639 640 12. SP2019-031 641 Discuss and consider a request by Ryan Moorman of R. D. Moorman, Inc. for the approval of a site plan 642 for an office building on a 1.244-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 22, Rainbow Acres Addition, City or 643 Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 259 Ranch Trail, and 644 take any action necessary. 645 646 Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, provided a brief explanation and background pertaining to the 647 request. The applicant is requesting to construct a metal office building in conjunction with and 648 adjacent to the existing office building. The subject property is a 1.244-acre parcel of land that is 649 zoned Commercial District and according to the applicant, the purpose of the building is to provide 650 additional office space and storage. The proposed office building will be approximately 4,950 651 square feet, 16-feet in height, and be situated adjacent to the north facade of the existing office 652 building. The structure will be constructed of metal and utilize a four foot brick wainscot, 653 storefront glass, and a roll-up door on the front facade. According to the applicant, the existing 654 metal accessory building will be removed. The applicant is proposing to screen the loading dock 655 by providing additional landscaping directly in front of the roll-up door to limit visibility from 656 Ranch Trail. Additionally, the landscape buffer adjacent to Ranch Trail will also provide screening 657 from the street. Staff should note, although screening is being provided, it is possible that the 658 roll-up door will still have limited visibility from Ranch Trail. Additionally, most of the surrounding 659 buildings are metal and this office building will be located directly in front of a proposed mini-660 warehouse facility that will consist of 575 units within 19 metal buildings. 661 662 Mr. Brooks further noted that according to the Unified Development Code, an office building is 663 permitted by-right in a Commercial District and no additional approvals are necessary with regard 664 to the proposed land use and with the exception of the variances being requested the submitted 665 site plan, landscape plan, treescape plan, and building elevations generally conform to the 666 technical requirements contained within the UDC for a property located within a Commercial 667 District. Mr. Brooks went over the exceptions being requested by the applicant and the 668 Architectural Review Board reviewed the proposed building elevations and passed a motion to 669 recommend approval of the site plan and approval is discretionary to the Planning and Zoning 670 Commission. 671 672 Mr. Brooks indicated the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff. 673 674 Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward. 675 676 Ryan Moorman 677 **417 Windermere** 678 Heath, TX 679 680 Mr. Moorman came forward and did not add any additional comments. 681 682 683 Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve SP2019-031 the variance and the site plan with staff recommendations. Commissioner Fishman seconded the motion. Approval of the site plan passed by a vote of 4-2 with Commissioners Womble and Welch dissenting and Commissioner Moeller absent; however, since the vote was not a supermajority, approval of the exception failed.

13. SP2019-033

684

685

686

687 688 689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696 697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708 709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732 733

734 735

736 737

738

739

740 741

742

743 744

745

Discuss and consider a request by Jimmy Strohmeyer of Strohmeyer Architects, Inc. on behalf of Dan Bobst of J-BR2, LLC for the approval of a site plan for a strip retail center and restaurant on a 2.893-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block B, Harbor District Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, situated within the Hillside Sub-District of Planned Development District 32 (PD-32), located within the IH-30 Overlay (IH-30 OV) District, located at the southwest corner of the intersection of the IH-30 frontage road and Sunset Ridge Drive, and take any action necessary.

Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided a brief explanation and background of the request. The applicant is requesting approval of a site plan for a strip retail center and restaurant. On September 20, 2010, the City Council passed Ordinance No. 10-21, PD-32, which established a concept plan and development standards for the approximate 78.89-acre tract of land commonly referred to as PD-32 or the Harbor District. Within the approved concept plan, PD-32 was divided into ten subdistricts that contained individual development and land use standards. On November 17, 2014, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 14-51, which contained a PD Development Plan for a 2.893-acre tract of land located in the subject property. This PD Development Plan showed a series of buildings would be constructed along Harbor Heights Drive in conformance to the requirements of Ordinance No. 10-21. With the approval of Ordinance No. 14-51, the City Council also approved waivers to the building placement requirements and pedestrian access requirements to allow retaining walls ranging from seven to nine feet in height to be established along Harbor Heights Drive. The approval of these walls were tied to building elevations submitted by the applicant and which were tied down as a part of the City Council's approval. On May 1, 2017, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 17-22, which amended Ordinance No. 10-21 to update various exhibits in the ordinance; however, no changes were made to the requirements of the Hillside Subdistrict or for the subject property. The applicant is requesting to amend Ordinance No. 14-51 to change the PD Development Plan approved for the subject property. The new PD Development Plan showed additional buildings being added along Sunset Ridge Drive and a central green space being incorporated adjacent to Harbor Heights Drive the request was approved on July 1, 2019 by Ordinance No. 19-25. Additionally, the subject property does not currently have any trees on it, and as a result the Treescape Plan requirement was waived for this case. Mr. Miller went over the variance and exceptions being requested by the applicant. On August 27, 2019, the applicant met with the Architectural Review Board to discuss the proposed building elevations. At this meeting the applicant introduced two (2) different design schemes. Based on the proposed design schemes, the ARB requested that the applicant utilize the contrasting building material scheme, the scheme that proposed elements that contrasted with the colors and materials used on the Trend Tower elevations. In addition, the ARB requested that the applicant better conform to the vertical articulation requirements and revise the tower element on the building at the corner of the intersection of Sunset Ridge Drive and Harbor Heights Drive. The ARB also requested a material sample board for the purpose of reviewing the proposed stone veneer and providing a recommendation to the Planning and Zoning Commission. The applicant has since submitted building elevations conforming with the majority of the ARB's recommendations; however, the applicant is requesting a variance to the articulation requirements.

Mr. Miller advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.

Jimmy Strohmeyer 2701 Sunset Ridge Rockwall, TX

Mr. Strohmeyer came forward and provided additional comments pertaining to the request and indicated he was available for questions

Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

746 747 748 749 750 751 752 752		Commissioner Womble made a motion to the variance for the stone requirement. Commissioner Thomas seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. Commissioner Womble made a motion to approve the articulation requirement. Commissioner Fishman seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Moeller absent. Commissioner Thomas made a motion to approve the site plan. Commissioner Fishman seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Fishman seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 6-0 with Commissioner Fishman seconded
753 754	VII.	DISCUSSION ITEMS
755 756 757		14. Director's Report of post Council meeting outcomes of Planning & Zoning cases.
757 758 759 760		Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided a brief update about the outcome of the above referenced case at the City Council meeting.
761 762 763 764 765 766		 P2019-032: Replat for Lot 8, Block A, Bodin Industrial Addition [<i>Approved</i>] P2019-034: Replat for Lots 29-33, Block A, Whisper Rock Addition [<i>Approved</i>] P2019-036: Replat for Lot 1, Block A, TCB Addition [<i>Approved</i>] MIS2019-001: Resolution for Impact Fee Public Hearing [<i>Approved</i>] Z2019-015: SUP for a Minor Automotive Facility (2nd Reading) [<i>Approved</i>] Z2019-016: Legislative Update Text Amendment (2nd Reading) [<i>Approved</i>]
767 768 769	VIII.	ADJOURNMENT
770 771 772		PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this 29 day of OCTOBER, 2019.
773 774 775 776 777 778		Attest:
779 780 781 782 783		Laura Morales Laura Morales, Planning Coordinator
784 785		Chairman Chodun adjourned the meeting at 9:19 p.m.
786 787 788		15. Capital Improvements Advisory Committee Meeting (CIAC) [6:30 PM]