2		MINUTES
3		PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARING
4		City Hall, 385 South Goliad, Rockwall, Texas
5		Council Chambers
6		October 8, 2019
7		6:00 P.M.
8		
9	I.	CALL TO ORDER
10 11		Chairman Eric Chodun called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m. The Commissioners present at

Chairman Eric Chodun called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m. The Commissioners present at the meeting were, Jerry Welch, Tracey Logan, Mark Moeller, and John Womble. Absent from the meeting was Annie Fishman and Sedric Thomas. Staff members present were Planning Manager, David Gonzales, Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, Planning Coordinator, Laura Morales, Civil Engineers Jeremy White and Sarah Hager.

Commissioners Fishman and Thomas arrived at the meeting at 6:03 p.m.

II. OPEN FORUM

 Chairman Chodun explained how Open Forum is conducted and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward at this time.

Dennis Denney 162 Meadowbrook Circle Rockwall, TX

Mr. Denney came forward and shared his strong opposition to the County of Rockwall's proposed planned RV Park on Cornelius Road.

Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to come forward and do so, there being no one indicating such; Chairman Chodun closed the open forum.

III. APPOINTMENTS

1. Appointment with Architectural Review Board representative to receive the Board's recommendations and comments for items on the agenda requiring architectural review.

Chairman Chodun indicated no cases went before the Architectural Review Board for their review.

IV. PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

2. Z2019-021

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Pat Atkins of KPA Consulting, Inc. on behalf of the owners Gwen Reed, Saddle Star South Holdings, LLC, and CDT Rockwall/2017, LLC for the approval of a zoning amendment to Planned Development District 79 (PD-79) [*Ordinance No. 16-39*] for the purpose of amending the development standards and concept plan on a 70.408-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 1, 1-03, 1-5 & 2-03 of the P. B. Harrison Survey, Abstract No. 97, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 79 (PD-79) for Single-Family 8.4 (SF-8.4) District land uses, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205 BY-OV) District, located on the north side of John King Boulevard south of Featherstone Drive, and take any action necessary.

Planning Manager, David Gonzales, provided a brief explanation and background in regards to the request. On January 4, 2016, the City Council approved Planned Development District 79 Ordinance No. 16-07, which rezoned a 45.292-acre portion of the subject property from an Agricultural District to a Planned Development District establishing an entitlement for a single-family residential subdivision consisting of 113 single-family lots. On May 16, 2019, the applicant voluntarily annexed an additional 11.121-acre tract of land and amended Planned Development District 79, incorporating this property into the subdivision. The annexation created a 55.413-acre residential subdivision. The amendment to PD-79 also increased the lot count from 113 to 138 lots, and granted an increase in the maximum front entry garages from 0% to 50%. On January 22, 2019, the applicant voluntarily annexed an additional 14.995-acre tract of land with the intent

of incorporating it into Planned Development District 79. On September 13, 2019, the applicant submitted an application requesting to amend PD-79 for the purpose of amending the development standards and concept plan by incorporating the additional 14.995-acre tract of land into the existing 55.413-acre tract of land to create Phase III for the Saddle Star Estates 68 Subdivision. This amendment would increase the total acreage of the subdivision to 70.408-acres.

Mr. Gonzales added that currently, Planned Development District 79 allows the applicant to construct 138, 70' x 125' single-family lots on 55.413-acres. The proposed amendment would increase the size of the proposed subdivision to 70.408-acres and add an additional five 70' x 125' lots and 33, 80' x 125' single family lots. The new lot product would be subject to all of the same standards as the existing lot type; however, the applicant would be incorporating 100% j-swing or traditional swing garages with the 80' x 125' as opposed to the 50% flat front entry currently permitted on 138, 70' x 125' lot product. Additionally the applicant will be adding an addition five lots of which 50% would be able to be flat front entry. Based on the applicant's request to rezone the subject property, the following infrastructure will be required to be constructed in order to provide adequate public services to the subject property. The City does not currently have the rights to serve the proposed 14.995-acre addition to Planned Development District 79. The applicant will need to work with Mt. Zion Water Supply Corporation to secure the right for the City of Rockwall to serve the additional acreage. Additionally the lift station located at John King Boulevard and FM-552 is not currently sized to serve the proposed 14.995-acre addition to Planned Development District 79. The applicant will be required to perform an infrastructure study to determine the upgrades necessary to meet the required capacity an all proposed infrastructure improvements must meet the Engineering Department's Standards of Design and Construction. The changes to Planned Development District 79 do not change the conformance of the proposed subdivision with regards to the City's existing codes.

Mr. Gonzales further noted that on September 20, 2019, staff mailed nine notices to property owners and residents within 500-feet of the subject property and also emailed notices to the Stone Creek and Stoney Hollow Homeowner's Associations. Staff did not received any notices regarding the applicant's request.

Mr. Gonzales advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward

Pat Atkins 3076 Hays Lane Rockwall, TX

64

65

66 67

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77 78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89 90

91

92

93

94 95

96

97 98

99 100

101

102

103 104

105

106 107

108 109

110

111

112 113

114

115 116

117

118

119

120

121

Mr. Atkins came forward and provided a brief explanation and power point presentation in regards to the request.

Chairman Chodun asked for questions from the Commission.

Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come forward and do so, there being no one wishing to do so; Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.

Commissioner Welch made a motion to approve Z2019-021 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Womble seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 7-0.

Z2019-022

Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Marty Wright for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for an accessory building on a one (1) acre tract of land identified as Lot 10, Block B, Saddlebrook Estates #2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Single-Family 16 (SF-16) District, addressed as 2340 Saddlebrook Lane, and take any action necessary.

122 Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, provided a brief explanation and background concerning the case. 123 The applicant is requesting approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for a detached garage that 124 exceeds the maximum allowable size for properties located within a Single-Family 16 District. 125 Currently situated on the subject property, there is a 3,397 square foot brick single-family home

126 and a 216 square foot accessory building that is clad with wood. The proposed building will be 127 situated behind the main structure, will be 13' 8" in height, and will be constructed of metal. The building will include a 24' x 40' detached garage and a 6' x 40' porch that will be on the front of the 128 129 building. The total footprint of the building will be 1,200 square feet, 35% of the size of the home. 130 The porch will incorporate windows with shutters, double walk-in doors, and wooden posts. The 131 applicant has stated that the purpose of the porch is to blend the building with the neighborhood 132 by incorporating architectural elements that are typically seen on a single-family home. The 133 building will have two roll-up doors located on each of the north and south façade and the 134 applicant has stated that detached garage will be utilized to store several antique vehicles. The 135 existing 12' x 18' accessory building will be relocated and will be situated adjacent to the northern 136 side façade of the proposed detached garage.

137 138 Mr. Brooks further noted that according to the Unified Development Code, in a Single-Family 16 139 District a detached garage is permitted provided that it is no larger than 625 square feet. The 140 detached garage should include a minimum of one garage bay door large enough to accommodate 141 a standard size motor vehicle and shall be architecturally compatible with the primary structure. 142 In this case, the proposed detached garage is 960 square feet and the porch is 240 a total building 143 footprint of 1,200 square feet, which exceeds the maximum allowable size of a detached garage. 144 Although the proposed building exceeds the maximum allowable size, the applicant has provided 145 additional architectural elements such as the front porch, windows and shutters, and the double 146 walk-in doors on the building in order for the building to be consistent with the main structure. 147 Additionally, the proposed building incorporates two roll-up doors that are large enough to 148 accommodate a standard passenger vehicle. Based on the proposed design of the building, the 149 applicant's request appears to be in conformance with the requirements stipulated by the Unified 150 Development Code with regard to detached garages; however, the Planning and Zoning 151 Commission and City Council are tasked with determining if the proposed building is 152 architecturally compatible with the primary structure. When looking at the applicant's request, it 153 was observed that a large majority of property owners currently have a detached garage and/or 154 accessory building on their properties. Of the existing accessory buildings within the 155 Saddlebrook Estates #2 Addition, several are roughly the same size or larger than the proposed 156 detached garage. It should be noted that most of the accessory buildings that are visible from the 157 street utilize exterior materials similar to the main structure. Staff was able to determine that 30 158 building permits have been for accessory buildings within the Saddlebrook Estates #2 Addition 159 and 27 of the permits are still active. A vast majority of the permits were issued between 2002 and 160 2009 shortly after this area was annexed. In this case, the proposed detached garage is larger than 161 the maximum allowable detached garage; however, the design of the structure appears to be 162 architecturally compatible with the main house and would resemble a residential building. The 163 building will sit more than 100-feet from the front property line and be approximately four feet 164 higher than the street. Due to this, visibility of the garage bay doors will be limited from the front 165 of the property line. Should the detached garage be visible from of the front of the property, the 166 garage would likely resemble the existing detached garages on the surrounding properties. Given 167 that a majority of the surrounding homes have a detached garage, an accessory building, and/or 168 a portable building approval of this request does not appear to negatively impact the subject 169 property or surrounding properties.

Mr. Brooks shared that on September 20, 2019, staff sent 30 notices to all residents/property owners within 500-feet of the subject property and there are no Homeowner's Associations Neighborhood Associations located within 1,500-feet of the subject property. Staff received 3 emails and 1 notice in favor of the request and 1 email in opposition of the request.

Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked for questions from the Commission.

181Commissioner Thomas asked if there were any old ordinance that required brick to be182incorporated in the building as seen in some of the surrounding properties with accessory183buildings. Mr. Brooks explained that the ordinances have changed over the years and at one point184in time masonry was a requirement however the City can no longer regulate masonry. However if185the Planning and Zoning Commission determines that some masonry would make it more186architecturally compatible, that could be something that the Commission could ask the applicant187to do.

170 171

172

173

174

175 176

177

178 179

180

188	Commissioner Logan asked if the outside porch would be used as storage as well. Mr. Brooks
189	shared that there would be no outside storage allowed and the ordinance would indicate that.
190	
191	Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward.
192	
193	Marty Wright
194	2340 Saddlebrook Lane
195	Rockwall, TX
196	Nockwall, TA
197	Mr. Wright came forward and provided a short presentation and additional comments in regards
198	to the request. He shared that prior to a legislative change that took effect September 1 st of this
199	year it was a requirement to have masonry, however with that house bill the requirements have
200	changed. Mr. Wright indicated he was available for questions.
200	changed. Iar. wright indicated he was available for questions.
202	Commissioner Loren shared concerns with the maintenance such a building would proste in the
	Commissioner Logan shared concerns with the maintenance such a building would create in the
203	future should there be a time when someone that does not take such owner prideship occupy the
204	home.
205	O second a few setting a local of the heating a second has sighted from the strengt. Blue Minister indicate d
206	Commissioner Moeller asked if the building would be visible from the street. Mr. Wright indicated
207	that it would be visible.
208	OL 1 OL 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
209	Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come
210	forward and do so.
211	
212	Patty Muggeo
213	2317 Saddlebrook Lane
214	Rockwall, TX
215	
216	Ms. Muggeo came forward and shared what an excellent neighbor Mr. Wright has been. However
217	although she does not have a problem with the building itself, the issue she has is that it is not
218	cohesive to the neighborhood what is being proposed. She generally expressed not being in favor
219	of the request unless it is at least partially bricked.
220	
221	Kevin Barger
222	2364 Saddlebrook Lane
223	Rockwall, TX
224	
225	Mr. Barger came forward and said he shares Ms. Muggeo's opinion in regards to the request.
226	
227	Eddie Smith
228	2312 Saddlebrook
229	Rockwall, TX
230	
231	Ms. Smith came forward and shared she just built a large shop that required to have brick and
232	they adhered to that requirement. She generally expressed not being in opposition of the structure
233	as long as it has some brick and masonry to be cohesive to the neighborhood.
234	
235	Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward and do so there being
236	no one wishing to do so, Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and brought the item back
237	to the Commission for discussion or action.
238	
239	Chairman Chodun expressed concern with setting a precedent in approving a request such as
240	this. General discussion took place between the Commission concerning the concerns expressed
241	by those who spoke.
242	
243	Commissioner Womble made a motion to deny Z2019-022. Commissioner Logan seconded the
244	motion which passed by a vote of 7-0.
245	
246	4. Z2019-024
247	Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Adam Buczek of Stone Creek Balance, LTD
248	for the approval of a zoning amendment to Planned Development District 70 (PD-70) for the purpose of
249	changing the number of hard-edged retention ponds required for the residential subdivision being a

~336.00-acre tract of land identified as the Stone Creek Subdivision and being situated within the W. T.
Deweese Survey, Abstract No. 71 and the S. King Survey, Abstract No 131, City of Rockwall, Rockwall
County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 70 (PD-70) for Single-Family 10 (SF-10) District land
uses, situated within the North SH-205 Overlay (N. SH-205 OV) and SH-205 By-Pass Overlay (SH-205
BY-OV) Districts, generally located at the southeast corner of the intersection of FM-552 and SH-205 [*N. Goliad Street*], and take any action necessary.

257 Planning Manager, David Gonzales, provided a brief explanation and background of the request. 258 The applicant submitted an application requesting to amend Planned Development District 70 for 259 the purpose of reducing the number of required hard edged retention ponds from four ponds to 260 three ponds. Additionally, the applicant has submitted a letter stating an intent to provide an 261 additional fountain feature for the existing retention pond located adjacent to York Street. Planned 262 Development District 70, in accordance with the original development agreement, requires the 263 developer to provide a minimum of four retention ponds with hard edges and fountain features. 264 According to the ordinance, the location and configuration of the proposed ponds is to be determined at the time of development. Currently, the developer has constructed three of the four 265 ponds required by Planned Development District 70: [1] the first pond is located at the northeast 266 corner of the intersection of N. Goliad Street and Featherstone Drive which is at the entry to the 267 268 subdivision, [2] the second pond located south of and adjacent to homes along Crestbrook Drive, and [3] the third pond located within the public park located at the northwest corner of 269 Featherstone Drive and John King Boulevard. The pond at the entryway to the subdivision is the 270 271 only pond that incorporates both a hardedge and a fountain feature. The pond located south of 272 and adjacent to Crestbrook Drive only incorporates a hardedge, and the pond in the public park 273 does not incorporate either a hardedge or a fountain; however, this pond was exempted by the 274 City to make the park eligible for matching grants through the State of Texas, which were applied 275 to increase the amenity of the park. The applicant has requested to change the language in the 276 Planned Development District 70 to reduce the number of ponds from three to four ponds, and 277 has agreed to incorporate a fountain feature in the pond adjacent to Crestbrook Drive and another 278 in a secondary pond along York Street. This means that the only thing the applicant is lacking to 279 meet the current requirements would be a hardedge along the pond located adjacent to York 280 Street: however, staff should point out that this pond is located within the 100-year floodplain and 281 is not highly visible from the street. The applicant has stated the reason for the request is tied to 282 the lengthy and indefinite permitting process required by the Texas Commission on 283 Environmental Quality, and a desire to not put an additional cost burden on the Stone Creek 284 Homeowner's Association. Additionally, as has been done with past requests to amend Planned 285 Development District ordinances, staff has consolidated the two regulating ordinances into one 286 regulating ordinances; however, the only change made in the attached draft ordinance is to the 287 verbiage relating to the number of retention ponds permitted within the development. There are 288 no infrastructure requirements associated with the proposed amendment. In addition, the 289 additional retention pond is not needed to meet the stormwater detention requirements, as the 290 retention ponds were not accounted for in the required detention for the subdivision since the 291 development was required to provide detention in other areas of the subdivision to meet the City's 292 engineering requirements. Since the request is only tied to a requirement of Planned Development 293 District 70, the request remains in compliance with all of the requirements of the City's codes.

Mr. Gonzales further noted that on September 20, 2019, staff mailed 928 notices to property owners and residents within 500-feet of Planned Development District 70 and also emailed notices to the Quail Run Valley, Lakeview Summit, Random Oaks, and Rockwall Shores Homeowner's Associations which are the only HOA's located within 1,500-feet of Planned Development District 70 participating in the Neighborhood Notification Program. Staff received seven in favor and seven in opposition of the request.

Mr. Gonzales advised the Commission that the applicant was present and available for questions as well as staff.

Chairman Chodun asked for questions from the Commission. Commissioner Logan asked for clarification that pond 4 would be getting the fountain not the hardedge. Mr. Gonzales indicated if the request was approved the ordinance would require the fountain to be included with pond 4.

Chairman Chodun asked the applicant to come forward and speak.

294 295

296

297

298

299

300

301 302

303

304 305

306

307

308 309

310 311

312	Adam Buzcek
313	8214 Westchester Drive, Suite. 710
314	Dallas, TX
315	
316	Mr. Buzcek came forward and provided a power point presentation along with additional
317	comments in regards to the request. Mr. Buzcek indicated he was available for questions.
318	,
319	Chairman Chodun opened the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak to come
320	forward and do so.
321	
322	Bob Wacker
323	309 Featherstone
324	Rockwall, TX
325	
326	Mr. Wacker came forward and provided a brief presentation in regards to the request. Mr. Wacker
327	generally expressed being in favor of the request.
328	generally expressed being in later of the requeet.
329	Shirley Smith
330	609 Amherst Drive
331	Rockwall, TX
332	
333	Mrs. Smith came forward came forward and shared her disappointment at the condition the ponds
334	were handed over to the HOA by the developer. She is asking that maintenance but kept up with
335	the existing ponds if the fourth pond will not have hardedge to better enhance the aesthetics of
336	
337	the neighborhood. She generally expressed being against the request.
338	Jim Smith
339	609 Amherst Drive
340	Rockwall, TX
341	
342	Mr. Smith came forward and shared that the current condition of the existing ponds are not being
343	maintained. Crestbrook Pond that is hard-edged is almost impossible for residents to reach it and
344	therefore does not meet the needs of amenities. He feels the TECQ requirements shouldn't play a
345	role because the developer was award of those requirements when it first began the development.
346	He feels if the fourth pond will not be incorporated the developer then needs to better maintain
347	the ponds and make Crestbrook Pond accessible to the residents. He generally expressed not
348	being in favor of the request.
349	
350	Sara Driscoll
351	306 Iris Drive
352	Rockwall, TX
353	
354	Ms. Driscoll came forward and generally expressed being in favor of the request for another pond
355	and feels the ideal location for it would be the intersection of SH-205 and Featherstone.
356	
357	Kate Wilke
358	129 Deverson Drive
359	Rockwall, TX
360	
361	Ms. Wilke came forward and generally expressed not being in favor with the additional pond being
362	added.
363	
364	Chairman Chodun asked if anyone else wished to speak to come forward and do so, there being
365	no one indicating such Chairman Chodun closed the public hearing and asked the applicant to
366	come forward with any rebuttal.
367	
368	Mr. Buzcek came forward and provided rebuttal for the comments that were given by the residents
369	present.
370	
371	Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or action.
372	

377

380

381

382

383

384 385

386

After general discussion among the Commission, Commissioner Womble made a motion to approve Z2019-024 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Fishman seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 5-2 with Commissioners Welch and Thomas dissenting.

378 V. ACTION ITEMS 379

5. SP2019-035

Discuss and consider a request by Greg Wallis of Mershawn Architects on behalf of the owner for the approval of a site plan for the expansion of an existing Minor Auto Repair Garage on a 1.1107-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 4, Block 1, Horizon Village Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, addressed as 2581 Horizon Road [*FM-3097*], and take any action necessary.

387 Senior Planner, Korey Brooks, provided a brief explanation of the request. The applicant is 388 requesting approval of a site plan for the purpose of expanding an existing minor automotive 389 repair garage, Kwik Kar. The applicant has stated that constructing a second building on the 390 subject property will help manage the current volume of vehicles being serviced. According to the 391 Unified Development Code, a Minor Auto Repair Garage is permitted by Specific Use Permit in a 392 Commercial District. In this case, on September 3, 2019, the City Council approved a Specific Use Permit and no additional approvals regarding land use are necessary. Currently, there is an 8,431 393 square foot building on the subject property and the applicant is proposing to construct a second 394 395 building that will be approximately 1,440 square feet and located behind the existing building. 396 According to the submitted building elevations, the new structure will match the existing structure 397 with regard to design and materials. With the exception of the exceptions being requested the 398 submitted site plan, landscape plan, and building elevations generally conform to the technical 399 requirements contained within the UDC for a property located within a Commercial District. 400

401 Mr. Brooks went over the exceptions the applicant is requesting to the requirements of the Unified 402 Development Code. According to the Unified Development Code, garage doors or bays shall not 403 face the street or a residential lot. In this case, since the bays of proposed building face the street, 404 approval of an exception to the Conditional Land Use Standards is required. Additionally 405 according to the UDC, the maximum wall length of primary building facades shall not exceed three 406 times the wall height without incorporating a secondary entryway/architectural element. In this 407 case, the south and north elevations are 48-feet in length and do not incorporate a secondary 408 entryway/architectural element. Since this exceeds the maximum allowable wall length of 42-feet 409 in length, approval of an exception to the building articulation standards is required. In this case, 410 the applicant is providing additional canopy trees and plants on site adjacent to the new building. 411 Additionally, the applicant is including 24-38% natural stone on each facade. Staff should note, 412 the front of the building will consist of bay doors, which provide some relief on the façade. Since 413 there is an existing building on the property, it seems appropriate for the design and materials of 414 the new building to be consistent. Further, the Unified Development Code, gives the Planning and 415 Zoning Commission the ability to grant exceptions to the general standards should the request 416 meet one of the following; [1] where unique or extraordinary conditions exist or [2] where strict 417 adherence to the technical requirements of the Unified Development Code would create an undue 418 hardship. When exceptions are being requested, the applicant shall provide two compensatory 419 measures that directly offset each requested exception. In this case, the applicant is providing a 420 combination of a berm, shrubs and plants in the landscape buffer and is utilizing 100% masonry. 421 In addition, the applicant is incorporating 24%-38% natural stone on each building façade. Staff 422 should note, that the property is adjacent to other automotive land uses. The proposed building 423 will be located behind the main structure, which will limit visibility of the bays; however, the bays 424 may still be slightly visible from the street. It should also be noted, that the bay doors on the front 425 of the building provide some relief to the buildings' facade. Since there is an existing building on 426 the property, it seems appropriate for the design and materials of the new building be consistent 427 with the existing building. With this being said these exceptions are discretionary decisions for 428 the Planning and Zoning Commission and require approval by a supermajority vote. In the event 429 that the exception is denied, the applicant has the ability to appeal the Planning and Zoning 430 Commission's decision to the City Council by filing a written request with the Planning and Zoning 431 Department. 432

433 434 435 436		Mr. Brooks further noted that the Architectural Review Board reviewed the proposed building elevations and recommended approval. Mr. Brooks advised the Commission that the applicant was unable to be present however staff was available for que4stions.
437 438		Chairman Chodun brought the item back to the Commission for discussion or a motion.
439 440 441		Commissioner Moeller made a motion to approve SP2019-035 with staff recommendations. Commissioner Logan seconded the motion which passed by a vote of 7-0.
442 443	VI.	DISCUSSION ITEMS
444 445		6. Director's Report of post Council meeting outcomes of Planning & Zoning cases.
446 447 448 449		Planning Manager, David Gonzales, advised the Commission that the City Council meeting was held the night before and the outcome of items brought forward will be discussed at the next scheduled meeting.
450 451	VII.	ADJOURNMENT
452 453 454		Chairman Chodun adjourned the meeting at 8:16 p.m.
455 456		PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, Texas, this 19 day of Mon-ember, 2019.
457 458 459 460 461		Eric Chodun, Chairman
462 463 464 465		Attests Haura Monales Laura Morales, Planning Coordinator

Laura Morales, Planning Coordinator